Radiation therapy is primarily a modality to kill cancer cells in the treatment field. It is becoming increasingly clear that radiation therapy can also be used to direct immune responses that have the potential to clear residual local or distant disease outside the treatment field. We believe that cancer cell death is the critical link between these processes. Understanding the handling of dying cancer cells by immune cells in the tumor environment is crucial to facilitate immune responses following radiation therapy. We review the role of the TAM (Tyro3 Axl Merk) group of receptor tyrosine kinases and their role following radiation-induced cancer cell death in the tumor environment.

Cell-mediated immunity to tumors is thought to require cytotoxic effector CD8 T cells expanded from naive precursors that can traffic to the tumor and kill their targets. Cancer cells can accumulate an array of mutated proteins with the potential to act as neoantigen targets for effector T cells, but cancer cells lack the requisite costimulatory molecules to active naive T cells and naive T cells do not traffic efficiently outside of lymphoid organs. Thus, to initiate new immune responses from naive T cells, the dogma is that dendritic cells (DCs) must serve to transport antigen from peripheral sites to lymphoid organs and cross-present antigen to CD8 T cells. One of the critical populations of dendritic cells for tumor immunity is the CD8α+CD103+Batf3+ subpopulation, as these are uniquely capable of cross-presenting tumor-associated antigen on MHC class I [1,2]. Batf3+ cross-presenting dendritic cells are critical for T-cell-mediated rejection of immunogenic tumors [2], are required for T-cell-mediated rejection of tumors treated with immunotherapy [3], and are also required for tumor control by the combination of immunotherapy and radiation therapy [4]. However, the CD103+Batf3− dendritic cell is one of the least common myeloid cells in tumors, representing a mere 1–3% of immune cells in the tumor environment [1,5]. Tumor-associated macrophages can be 10–20× more common, and the less phagocytic granulocyte and immature monocyte subtypes may be more common than that [1,5]. Multiple studies have shown that tumors with high levels of myeloid infiltration are associated with poor prognosis; however, often these studies are unable to distinguish the various myeloid populations within the tumor environment which may explain mixed results upon meta-analysis [6,7].

Thus, while targeted radiation leads to focused killing of cancer cells and release of cancer antigens and immune adjuvant within the irradiated tumor, the released antigen is most probably taken up by multiple myeloid populations other than CD103+Batf3+ dendritic cells, and therefore, to cells incapable of initiating naive T-cell responses. If tumor immunity is to be facilitated with targeted radiation, then efficient delivery of irradiated cancer cell antigen to dendritic cells must be attained. For these reasons, it would be valuable to characterize individual contributions of the various phagocytic myeloid populations in the tumor on cancer antigen processing in order to optimize the flow of antigen toward CD103+Batf3+ dendritic cells.
While the primary goal of radiation therapy is to kill cancer cells, apoptotic cancer cells can have negative impacts on the immune properties of the surrounding tumor immune environment. Apoptotic cancer cells have been shown to drive accelerated repopulation of residual viable cancer cells [8], and interaction with apoptotic cells results in macrophage secretion of suppressive cytokines including IL-10 and TGF-β [9–12]. In addition, resident immune populations within the treatment field are susceptible to ionizing radiation or indirectly suppressed by exposure to dying cancer cells. As discussed, tumors are typically heavily infiltrated with myeloid cells polarized to phenotypes that suppress immune responses at the time of radiation therapy; however, our prior studies have demonstrated that radiation therapy increases infiltration of macrophages into tumors and that over time these macrophages exhibit increased suppressive differentiation [13]. As the macrophages convert into these suppressive differentiation phenotypes following radiation therapy, they are capable of suppressing T-cell responses that were successfully initiated by radiation therapy [13–15]. As discussed in a prior review, this macrophage response to dying cells is a normal feature of wound repair, where inflammatory damage leads to inflammatory resolution [15]. Phagocytosis and clearance of apoptotic cells normally prevent immune activation in the absence of additional danger signals. Thus, in vivo administration of apoptotic cells is an efficient means to generate antigen-specific tolerance [16,17], and in patients, tumors progress despite readily detectable areas of cell destruction [18]. These data suggest that antigens and adjuvants released following cell death do not necessarily generate immune responses that control residual tumors, but instead that additional processes tightly regulate immune responses to dying cells.

The consequence of radiation therapy on the various myeloid populations in the tumor will depend on the balance between recruitment, differentiation and trafficking/death of these cells [15]. As discussed, radiation results in increased recruitment and suppressive differentiation, but can also result in death of myeloid cells. Broadly speaking, fully differentiated myeloid cells are relatively radio-resistant; however, radiation therapy can suppress the ability of ex vivo cultured dendritic cells to stimulate T-cell responses [19,20]. Moreover, regulation of DNA damage response pathways in irradiated DC can alter the pattern of cytokine secretion of stimulated DC [21], potentially resulting in altered T-cell response profiles stimulated by these DC. Little is known about the relative effects of radiation on the distinct DC subtypes in vivo, but recent studies suggest that multiple DC subtypes are negatively affected. Price et al. [22] demonstrated that in the skin of tumor-free mice, both epidermal Langerhans cells and dermal DC are decreased in number following radiation and these cells repopulate ∼10 days following treatment. While Langerhans cells exhibit DNA damage following radiation, they do not undergo cell death, but instead repair DNA damage and migrate to draining lymph nodes where they are more effective at driving T regulatory cell expansion than unirradiated Langerhans cells [22]. These data suggest that radiation therapy effectively causes DC and Langerhans cell migration to draining lymph nodes, but that these drive expansion of suppressive T-cell populations. While there may be differential effects of radiation therapy at lower doses (reviewed in ref. [23]), in the absence of additional therapeutic interventions, the data seem to suggest that high-dose radiation therapy drives immunosuppressive macrophage differentiation and suboptimal DC function in the treatment field. This is consistent with long-term data demonstrating that in the absence of additional interventions, radiation therapy is poorly able to generate systemic immune responses that can result in abscopal tumor control [24]. There are now many papers describing the recruitment of macrophages to tumors following radiation therapy and that these cells limit tumor control [13,15,25–27]. One strategy is to apply therapies that remove these cells, which can therefore remove the potential for suppressive differentiation and also increase the likelihood that antigen is made available to dendritic cells. One such approach has been to target CSF1R, which is a critical growth factor receptor pathway that drives macrophage differentiation and supports them in peripheral tissues. CSF1R inhibition with blocking antibodies or small molecules has synergized with both chemotherapy and radiation therapy to control tumors [25,26,28–30]; however, this approach has not been shown to result in tumor cures.

An alternative to decreasing the overall number of macrophages is to intervene to prevent suppressive polarization of these cells, or to prevent their ability to respond to dying cancer cells. We recently discussed a range of innate adjuvants as options to repolarize the tumor immune environment [31], so here we will focus on the response to dying cells. One of the most significant signals exposed on dying cells and indirectly recognized by phagocytes is phosphatidylserine (PS). PS is normally restricted to the inner leaflet of cells and classically it is exposed on the exterior of cells beginning in the early phases of apoptosis, though it can also become exposed in response to stress within the tumor. Exposed PS causes macrophages to secrete IL-10 and TGF-β [11], which are immunosuppressive cytokines in the tumor environment. Antibodies that interfere with the availability of PS significantly enhance the efficacy of radiation therapy [32] and chemotherapy [33] in preclinical...
models. Milk fat globulin-E8 (MFG-E8) can directly bind exposed PS on apoptotic cells and serve as a bridge to integrins on the phagocyte [34]. Mice lacking MFG-E8 exhibit autoimmune disease [35] as a result of enhanced cross-presentation of cell-associated antigens [36]. Further experiments demonstrated that dendritic cell clearance of apoptotic cells was defective in the absence of MFG-E8, resulting in uptake of cellular debris rather than apoptotic cells and improved cross-presentation to CD8 T cells [36]. Importantly, blockade of MFG-E8 has been shown to improve responses to radiation therapy and chemotherapy in preclinical models [37]. Mertk has been shown to play a critical role in MFG-E8-mediated opsonization of apoptotic cells [38], and the dominant ligand for Mer is Gas6, a protein S-related gene that has been shown to bind exposed PS on apoptotic cells. Gas6 bridging of apoptotic cells to macrophages results in apoptotic cell phagocytosis [39], and like mice lacking MFG-E8, mice lacking Mertk show defective handling of apoptotic cells and autoimmunity [40,41]. Our studies demonstrated that ligation of Mertk resulted in the accumulation of NF-κB p50 in macrophages, which repolarized the macrophage response to innate adjuvants [13,42]. This is consistent with prior data demonstrating that tumor-associated macrophages and M2 macrophages accumulate NF-κB p50, which defines their polarized response to stimulation [43,44]. Thus, despite the availability of potential endogenous adjuvants such as HMGB1 released from dying cancer cells, the interaction of dying cancer cells with Mertk on macrophages limits their inflammatory potential and therefore the ability of radiation therapy to initiate immunity as a single agent [42,45,46].

Mertk is a member of the TAM (Tyro3 Axl Merk) group of receptor tyrosine kinases, and each bind Gas6 or protein S with varying affinity [47]. Ligation of these receptors can result in up-regulation of anti-inflammatory regulators such as SOCS1 and suppress the ability of cells to produce inflammatory cytokines in response to stimuli [48]. These receptors have a varying expression pattern, but there is a great deal of interest in TAM inhibitors as cancer therapies [47]. Analysis of the gene expression profile of a range of immune cell populations demonstrated that Mertk was selectively expressed on macrophages when compared with dendritic cells [49]. Similarly, Broz et al. [5] recently characterized the myeloid populations of the tumor and developed a flow cytometry panel to identify the unique cross-presenting CD103+ dendritic cell subpopulation, and used this information to extract a gene signature that characterized the cross-presenting CD103+ DC and another gene signature for the other DC and tumor-associated macrophage populations. As anticipated, the CD103+ cells were enriched for expression of Batf3, Clec9a and Irf8, among other genes. However, it is notable that the other myeloid populations were enriched for genes such as C1qa, b and c, and Mertk [5]. As discussed for MFG-E8, Mertk is also the signaling component of apoptotic cell phagocytic complexes involving C1q [50], placing this molecule at the center of a complex of genes involved in clearance of dying cancer cells that does not lead to cross-presentation.

These data suggest that Mertk could be targeted to specifically redirect antigen uptake away from macrophages, which can engender suppressive responses, and toward dendritic cells, which can cross-present antigen. However, Mertk expression has also been detected on cancer cells, suggesting that therapies targeting Mertk have potential efficacy on both cancer cells and tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells. Yi et al. [51] discovered aberrant Mertk expression in a small subset of gastric cancers associated with worse clinical outcomes. In vitro, gastric cancer cell lines that overexpress Mertk saw growth reduction with shRNA-mediated knockdown of Mertk, suggesting a role for Mertk in early tumor development of some gastric cancers [51]. Melanoma cell lines harboring both BRAF and NRAS mutations demonstrated reduced cell motility and transient reductions in cell proliferation after knockdown of Mertk [52]. Glioblastoma (GBM) cells have been shown to express Mertk, which is associated with in vitro GBM invasiveness [53]. However, non-cancer cell expression of Mertk can influence tumor growth. In Mertk−/− hosts, MMTV-PyMT orthotopic grafts show delayed tumor growth, decreased pulmonary metastases, increased expression of proinflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-12 and increased infiltration of CD8+ T cells, suggesting a role for Mertk in early tumor development [54]. This is not true in all models, as CT26 colorectal carcinoma and 4T1 mammary carcinoma in BALB/c mice, and Panc02 pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 3LL lung adenocarcinoma and B16 melanoma in C57BL/6 mice backgrounds were not different between wild-type and Mertk−/− hosts [42]. In addition, spontaneous pulmonary metastases derived from orthotopic 4T1 mammary carcinoma were not different between wild-type and Mertk−/− hosts [42]. Thus, the role of Mertk in tumor growth and progression may be dependent on the experimental model and also influenced by expression of Mertk in cancer cells and the host.

A family of small molecule inhibitors with specificity for Mertk have been developed and described in many preclinical studies. Within this family, UNC1062 has shown in vitro efficacy in reducing growth and motility of Mertk expressing melanoma and gastric cancer cells [51,55]. The related agent UNC569 induced apoptosis in
acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) cell lines Jurkat and 697, and reduced colony formation in ALL and pediatric atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumor cell lines compared with vehicle-treated and non-Mertk-targeted small molecule inhibitor controls [56]. Another related agent UNC2025 reduced cell proliferation and colony formation of U251, A172 and SF188 GBM cell lines in vitro [57], and in syngeneic orthotopic tumor studies was found to have significant penetration into tumors in the central nervous system resulting in delayed growth and increased response rate to radiation therapy [58]. UNC2025 inhibited Mertk phosphorylation in human non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) cell lines (H2228, A549, Colo699 and H1299), induced apoptosis and inhibited colony formation in vitro and inhibited growth of subcutaneous tumor xenografts with H2228 and A549 cells in nude mice [59]. Similar effects on reduced Mertk phosphorylation were seen in vitro with a blocking monoclonal antibody to the Mertk extracellular domain on A549, H2009, HCC15 and Colo699 NSCLC cell lines [60]. These data using Mertk-targeting antibodies are important evidence for the potential of Mertk-specific inhibition, since UNC2025, UNC569 and UNC1062 also suppress Axl and Tyro3 in the nanomolar range. However, since Axl may play an important role in cancer cell resistance to treatment (reviewed in ref. [61]), inhibitors that suppress both Axl and Mertk have the potential to act as drugs working on both sides of the tumor–host divide.

While much of the research on Axl inhibition has focused on the cancer cell, it is becoming clear that Axl expression on cancer cells can influence the tumor immune environment. Recent studies demonstrated that expression of Axl by cancer cells was a critical factor in determining a suppressive tumor immune environment, which in turn resulted in poor responses to radiation therapy and immunotherapy [62]. Interestingly, activation of Axl in the cancer cells resulted in suppression of antigen-processing and presentation machinery [62]. Even between subclones of cancer cell lines, MHC expression can vary, and the level of MHC expression by subclones directly correlates with their ability to be killed by cytotoxic T lymphocytes [63]. Loss of antigen-presenting machinery in cancer cells, along with local immune suppression, is highly predictive of poor outcome in cancer patients [64]. MHC I expression in cancer cells is limited by activation of the MEK pathway, and small molecule MEK inhibitors restored MHC expression and increased sensitivity to T-cell killing [65]. Importantly, Tap1 and Tap2, as well as other critical components involved in antigen presentation, were also up-regulated following MEK inhibition in cancer cells [65]. Since Tyro3, Axl and Mertk are strong activators of the MEK pathway following ligation, it makes sense that in an environment where these family members are chronically activated due to constant environmental stress and treatment-induced cell death, the consequence will be a poor environment for immune control of cancer.

One concern in the use of small molecule TAM inhibitors is that where tested, they also block Flt3 at similar affinity to Mertk blockade [47,61,66]. Flt3 is a critical growth factor receptor needed to generate cross-presenting DC [67,68]. These data suggest that the currently available small molecules blocking Mertk may not be useful if our goal is to redirect antigen from macrophages and toward cross-presenting dendritic cells. This may play a role in the differential effects of treatment in Mertk−/− mice versus in vivo Mertk small molecule inhibition. Inhibition of Mertk with the small molecule inhibitor, UNC2025, did not affect tumor growth in murine orthotopic GBM tumor graft models [58], and combined treatment with UNC2025 and radiation therapy did not significantly increase overall survival compared with radiation alone. However, combined treatment did result in some tumor cures that were not seen in mice treated with radiation therapy alone [58]. In contrast, Mertk−/− mice bearing CT26 colorectal carcinoma showed significantly increased overall survival following radiation compared with wild-type mice [42]. Similar studies with Panc02 pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell lines did not have increased responsiveness to radiation therapy in Mertk−/− hosts and required the addition of a TGF-β inhibition to achieve high rates of tumor control following radiation [42]. In addition to direct targeting of Mertk or Axl, an alternative approach is targeting of its endogenous ligands Protein S and Gas6 [69]. Silencing of the gene for Protein S with siRNA reduced migration of the GBM cell line LN18 and induced apoptosis in vitro [70]. Pharmaceutical inhibition of Gas6 and Protein S can be attained with warfarin, which blocks the vitamin K-dependent γ-carboxylation of Gas6 and Protein S needed for binding these ligands to exposed phosphatidylserine on apoptotic cells [71]. Warfarin administration had been shown to reduce melanoma metastases in murine subcutaneous tumor grafts with B16F10 melanoma cells [72], and reduced metastatic burden was also demonstrated in many pancreatic adenocarcinoma tumor models subjected to low-dose warfarin administration [73]. Thus, TAM signaling inhibition may be a contributor to the long-standing but poorly understood connection between anticoagulation and cancer [74].

We believe that if radiation therapy is to be improved as an immunotherapy — due to its ability to kill cancer cells to permit cross-presentation of tumor-associated antigens to T cells — then it is critical to
understand the handling of dying cells following radiation therapy. That radiation therapy as a single agent is rarely capable of causing immune-mediated regression of distant tumors suggests that without intervention, the normal handling of dying cells does not permit immune responses. We believe that the TAM family members, and Mertk in particular, are an excellent target to manipulate the handling of dying cells and improve immune responses to cell-associated antigens (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Effect of TAM family members on the immune response to cancer cell death.
Activation of Axl or Merk on cancer cell results in suppression of antigen processing and presentation to T cells, while Merk on tumor macrophages results in phagocytosis of dying cells to the exclusion of dendritic cell uptake. Cell uptake drives suppressive differentiation of macrophages, which can, in turn, suppress T cells in the tumor environment. Where TAM family members are absent, antigen is more available for uptake by dendritic cells that can expand naive T cells that can traffic to the tumor. Tumor-infiltrating T cells find decreased macrophage suppression and increased antigen presentation, permitting increased control of tumors.
Summary

- Dying cancer cells activate a series of suppressive mechanisms in the tumor environment that limits immune responses to cell-associated antigens.

- TAM family members are central to handling of dying cancer cells, and suppression of TAM family members is a potent therapeutic strategy to initiate immune responses following radiation-induced cancer cell death.
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