### 2018 Annual Kinsman Bioethics Conference Breakout Sessions – *Please choose one session using the online registration link.*

**Thursday, April 19, 2018**

#### Breakout Session A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
<th>Session Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **A1 Understanding Autonomy within Context: What’s Everyone Else Have to Do with It?** | Hong Lee, PhD                                      | 1. Understand the philosophical underpinnings behind the concept of autonomy  
2. Explore how our understanding of autonomy fits into our social relationships  
3. Reassess the meaning of autonomy in the clinical environment                                                                                      |
| **A2 The Cases That Keep You Up at Night: Coping with Uncertainty as Healthcare Providers** | Nancy Boyle, LCSW, OSW-C  
Keren McCord, LCSW, OSW-C | 1. Explore how the need to be certain can impact a provider’s distress level  
2. Distinguish how this distress impacts information/truth telling and prognostication  
3. Examine truth-telling in the context of hope  
4. Identify the impact on decision making that patients and families make about their care |
| **A3 Do What’s Right for the Patient: A Surgeon’s Perspective on Discussing High Risk Surgery** | David Zonies, MD, MPH                             | 1. Discuss the intersection and roles of surgeons with ethicists and palliative care  
2. Discuss interpersonal and intrapersonal conflict relating to treatment decisions  
3. Discuss the role of primary vs secondary palliative care                                                                                 |
| **A4 Wrestling with God: Are Medical Decisions Encumbered or Edified by Faith?** | Kit Hall, Chaplain                                 | 1. Become familiar with some of the religious teachings that challenge modern medical practices  
2. DM Made Easy: Identify common ways patients and families use their beliefs to resist confronting medical decisions  
3. How faith can edify open discussion of medical care plans                                                                                   |

#### Breakout Session B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
<th>Session Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B1 Uncertainty in Medical Decision Making: A Philosophical Dilemma</strong></td>
<td>Prakash Chenjeri, PhD</td>
<td>OBJECTIVES NOT AVAILABLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **B2 The Transition from Transplant: When the Unrealistic Wish for Transplant Undermines Medical Decisions** | Anthony Mistretta, MD                              | 1. Identify ways in which an unrealistic wish for transplant interferes with reality-based decision-making  
2. Reflect upon the responsibility of medical providers to separate unrealistic wishes from realistic hopes  
3. If medical providers take a stronger position in the discussion about who is/is not offered transplant, how can we minimize the influence of bias? |
| **B3 Re-examining Moral Distress: A Critique of the Received View** | John Holmes, PhD                                   | 1. Review the Received View of moral distress  
2. Examine limitations of the Received View  
3. Explore the larger taxonomy of moral distress and how ethics committees can best respond                                                  |
| **B4 Unexpected Implications of a New Blood Test for Adherence** | Craig Williams, PharmD                             | 1. Discuss both the benefits and risks of using serum drug assays to monitor for drug compliance in clinical practice  
2. Understand the power of the “placebo effect” and how it could explain reduced mortality in patients with CHF  
3. Discuss any differences in obligations of the health care system to monitor drug use in clinical trials versus clinical practice |

#### Breakout Session C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
<th>Session Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **C1 Ethical and Philosophical Considerations: End-of-Life Decision-Making in Mexican Americans** | Maggie Rising, JD                                 | 1. Understand how Mexican American and Eurocentric cultural and ethical norms collide during EOL decision-making  
2. Understand the risk of racialization & “othering” when researching, teaching, & practicing “culturally competent care”  
3. Understand how the illusion of “free choice” in marginalized populations and the assumption of no causal connection between ethnicity and socioeconomic variables impacts research, teaching, and clinical practice |
| **C2 POLST in the Face of Uncertain Times**                          | Susan Tolle, MD                                    | 1. Receive updates on POLST  
2. Review the scope of current POLST research  
3. Next steps in POLST innovation                                                                                                                    |
| **C3 Safety Sucks: An APS Perspective**                             | Julie Olds, LNHA                                   | 1. Demonstrate that the ‘goal of safety’ is a complex social issue that needs to be examined on a case-by-case basis  
2. Participants will discuss through use of cases whether guardianship is a good response to refusals of care                                                                 |
| **C4 Prehospital (EMS) Decision-Making: It’s 2:00 am, Pouring Down Rain and You have 5 Minutes** | Paul Rostykus, MD, MPH                             | 1. Describe EMS provider medical direction in Oregon  
2. Contrast decision-making resources between urban and rural regions and between hospital and prehospital (EMS) settings  
3. List challenges experience by prehospital (EMS) providers in decision-making                                                                      |