

## Rubric for FD Summit Proposal Scoring

Your name (reviewer): \_\_\_\_\_

Proposal title: \_\_\_\_\_

**Based on the proposal you are reviewing, rate your agreement with the following statements from "Exceeds (4)" to "Does not meet (1)":**

Categories	Ideal	Exceeds (4)	Meets (3)	Approaches (2)	Does not meet (1)
<i>Focus:</i>	The target audience for this approach/program/initiative is clearly stated.				
	The need or gap related to this approach is clearly stated.				
<i>Methods:</i>	The methods or program design are appropriate to the focus.				
<i>Results:</i>	There has been, or there is potential for, significant results/impact within the specific department.				
<i>Replicability:</i>	The approach would be relevant to other departments.				
	The approach would be feasible for departments with limited resources.				
<i>DEIB:</i>	The approach specifically seeks to overcome obstacles and disadvantages for faculty underrepresented in medicine, and/or ensures equitable participation and outcomes.				

### **Qualitative Feedback:**

For each of the categories above, please provide feedback for the presenters about their proposal. Please be specific, constructive and kind. You might consider questions such as: In which ways is the presenter on track? What information that would be useful for attendees to know about the presenter's initiative appears to be missing?

### **Additional questions:**

- Does the project/initiative align to the faculty domain for which it was submitted?
- Which domain do you think this project/initiative best aligns to?