







RARE INFECTION IN HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL TRANSPLANTATION RECIPIENTS

DEEPA D. NANAYAKKARA, MD, MS

Assistant Clinical Professor Division of Infectious Diseases DNanayakkara@coh.org



Case presentation

- HPI: Mrs. RS is a 58 y.o. Caucasian female with history of Hodgkin lymphoma diagnosed in 1991, was hesitant to undergo chemotherapy and received radiation to left neck and axilla, relapsed in 1997 and was treated with 6 cycles of ABVD, then developed DLBCL in 2018 and achieved CR1 with RCEP in late 2018 but relapsed in 11/2022 (with disease above and below diaphragm), received 2 cycles of R-CHOP and 1 cycle or R-CVP leading to CR2, followed by autologous HSCT with BEAM conditioning on 4/20/23, currently in remission, with post-transplant course c/b SARS-CoV-2 infection with prolonged shedding from 4/2023-6/2023, who presented in 7/2023 with a 4-day history of fever, chills, headaches and neck stiffness.
- PMH: Per HPI
- **PSH**: Tonsillectomy
- Fam Hx: Non-contributory
- Allergies: Ciprofloxacin bone pain
- Medications: Acyclovir, bactrim
- Soc Hx: Lives in Riverside with her husband. Used to work in her church office and with many children but not since the past 5+ years. Denies tobacco, recreational drug, or EtOH use. Has a pet dog and cat and is exposed to her daughter's and son's dogs. Prior PPDs have been negative, none recent. Loves to garden. No mold issues at home. No recent construction/renovations at home. Likes to swim and use the sauna but not since summer 2022. No international travel within the past 5 years. Usually travels locally within California.



Case presentation (cont.)



GENERAL: Awake, alert, uncomfortable due to headache,

laying flat on the bed, appears anxious

HEENT: PERRLA, EOMI, photophobia, sclera anicteric, no oral ulcers, no sinus tenderness to palpation, dentition fair NECK: **Nuchal rigidity**

CARDIOVASCULAR: RRR, normal s1 s2, no m/r/g PULMONARY: CTA bilaterally, unlabored breathing, on room air

ABDOMEN: Soft, non-tender, non-distended, normoactive bowel sounds

EXTREMITIES: No c/e/e

SKIN: No rashes

NEURO: AOx4, CN II-XII intact, no gross deficits

LDAs: Right port-a-cath 3/13/23



Labs

			00.LL	01.10	vv	00.21
	GENERAL HEMATOL ⊠ ⊗					
	WBC Count	6.26	6.34	4.97	5.16	3.64 ¥
	RBC Count	2.57 ¥	2.40 ¥	2.28 ¥	2.25 ¥	2.11 ¥
ŀ	Hemoglobin	8.6 👻	8.3 ¥	7.8 👻	7.8 👻	7.3 👻
	Hematocrit	26.3 ¥	25.0 ¥	23.7 ¥	23.3 ¥	21.6 👻
	Platelet Count	78 🛩	79 👻	69 🛩	66 🛩	49 👻
	MCV	102.3 ^	104.2 ^	103.9 🔺	103.6 ^	102.4 🔺
	MCH	33.5 🔺	34.6 ^	34.2 ^	34.7 ^	34.6 🔺
	MCHC	32.7	33.2	32.9	33.5	33.8
	RDW	14.3	14.4	14.6	14.1	14.2
	MPV	11.4	11.7	11.7	11.6	11.6
	Segmented Neutrophil Percent	77.7 🔺	71.4	80.9 🔺	69.7	83.4 🔺
	Neutrophils %					
	Lymphocyte Percent	11.2 ¥	12.2 ¥	10.0 👻	16.9 👻	12.5 👻
ŀ	Lymphocytes%					
	Monocyte Percent	3.4 ¥	8.7	5.0	2.5 ¥	1.7 👻
	Monocytes%					
	Eosinophil Percent	0.0 👻	0.0 👻	0.8	2.5	0.8
	Eosinophils%					
	Basophil Percent	0.0 🗸	1.7 🔺	0.0 👻	0.0 👻	0.0 👻
	Basophil %					
	Segmented Neutrophil Absolute	4.86	4.53	4.02	3.60	3.04
	Absolute Neutrophils					
	Lymphocyte Absolute	0.70 👻	0.77 ¥	0.50 👻	0.87 ¥	0.46 👻
1	Absolute Lymphoctyes					
	Monocyte Absolute	0.21 ¥	0.55	0.25 ¥	0.13 ¥	0.06 👻
A	Absolute Monocytes					
	Eosinophil Absolute	0.00 🗸	0.00 👻	0.04	0.13	0.03
	Absolute Eosinophils					_
	Basophil Absolute	0.00 👻	0.11 ^	0.00 🗸	0.00 ¥	0.00 👻
	Absolute Basophils					
	NRBC %	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Absolute NRBC	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

6.3
3.8
8.9
0.3
114
15
24
135 👻
3.7
103
24
105
11
0.85
>=60 🖻
>=60 🖻
8
1.5

CD4 count

	Ref Range & Units	
\sim	Percent T-cell (CD3+) Count	83.39
	56.00 - 86.00 %	
\sim	Absolute T-cell (CD3+) Count	618 🗸
	723 - 2,737 cells/uL	
\sim	Percent T Suppressor Cell (CD3+CD8+)	62.07 🔨
	Count	
	13.00 - 39.00 %	
\simeq	Absolute T Suppressor Cell (CD3+CD8+)	462
	Count	
_	220 - 1,129 cells/uL	
\geq	Percent T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count	22.04
	33.00 - 58.00 %	
\simeq	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count	164 🗸
	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL	164~
	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL Helper/Suppressor Ratio	164 v 0.4 v
	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL Helper/Suppressor Ratio 0.7 - 3.6	164 ↓ 0.4 ↓
X	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL Helper/Suppressor Ratio 0.7 - 3.6 Percent NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count	164 ↓ 0.4 ↓ 15.90
	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL Helper/Suppressor Ratio 0.7 - 3.6 Percent NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 5.00 - 26.00 %	164 ↓ 0.4 ↓ 15.90
	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL Helper/Suppressor Ratio 0.7 - 3.6 Percent NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 5.00 - 26.00 % Absolute NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-)	164 ↓ 0.4 ↓ 15.90 117
	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL Helper/Suppressor Ratio 0.7 - 3.6 Percent NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 5.00 - 26.00 % Absolute NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count	<pre>164 ↓ 0.4 ↓ 15.90 117</pre>
	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL Helper/Suppressor Ratio 0.7 - 3.6 Percent NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 5.00 - 26.00 % Absolute NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 84 - 724 cells/uL	164 ∨ 0.4 ∨ 15.90 117
	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL Helper/Suppressor Ratio 0.7 - 3.6 Percent NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 5.00 - 26.00 % Absolute NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 84 - 724 cells/uL Percent B-cell (CD19+) Count	<pre>164 ↓ 0.4 ↓ 15.90 117 0.00 ↓</pre>
	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL Helper/Suppressor Ratio 0.7 - 3.6 Percent NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 5.00 - 26.00 % Absolute NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 84 - 724 cells/uL Percent B-cell (CD19+) Count 5.00 - 22.00 %	<pre>164 ↓ 0.4 ↓ 15.90 117 0.00 ↓</pre>
X X X X X	Absolute T Helper Cell (CD3+CD4+) Count 401 - 1,612 cells/uL Helper/Suppressor Ratio 0.7 - 3.6 Percent NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 5.00 - 26.00 % Absolute NK cell (CD16+CD56+CD3-) Count 84 - 724 cells/uL Percent B-cell (CD19+) Count 5.00 - 22.00 % Absolute B-cell (CD19+) Count	<pre>164 ↓ 0.4 ↓ 15.90 117 0.00 ↓ <25 ↓</pre>



Other labs available before CSF analysis

- Blood cultures: Negative
- Serum Cryptococcal antigen: Negative



CSF analysis

IMPRESSION:

1. Fluoroscopic guided lumbar puncture, with no immediate complications. 2. Opening pressure = 10 cm.

CSF TESTING 🛛 🖉 😞		
WBC Count, Cerebrospinal Fluid	9 🔺	
RBC Count, Cerebrospinal Fluid	27	
Protein, CSF	134 🔺	Serum t
Glucose Level, CSF	42	Serum g

otal protein 6.6 g/dL glucose 100 mg/dL

\sim	Total Counted, Cerebrospinal Fluid	100
~	Segmented Neutrophil Percent, Cerebrospinal Fluid %	39.0
×	Lymphocyte Percent, Cerebrospinal Fluid %	50.0
×	Monocyte Percent, Cerebrospinal Fluid %	10.0
~	Basophil Percent, Cerebrospinal Fluid %	1.0



Differential diagnosis?

And the CSF analysis ultimately yielded...

- A. Bartonella henselae (PCR+)
- B. Capnocytophaga canimorsus
- C. Coccidioides immitis
- D. Cryptococcus neoformans
- E. HSV (PCR+ from multiplex panel)
- F. Mycobacterium kansasii

CSF analysis

	Cryptococcal Ag Screen, CSF Negative	Positive !
	Cryptococcal Ag Titer, CS	F 1:160 ^
Fungus	Culture	Rare Cryptococcus neoformans
Fungus	Stain	No fungal elements seen

() Meningitis/Encephalitis Panel, PCR

Status: Final result Visible to patient: No (not released) Next appt: 07/28/2023 at 02:20 PM in Lab (MM VAD CHAIR 02) Specimen Information: CSF (Specify); Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) 0 Result Notes Component Escherichia coli K1 by Not Detected PCR Haemophilus influen- Not Detected zae by PCR (ARUP) Listeria monocytogenes by PCR (ARUP) Neisseria meningitidis Not Detected by PCR (ARUP) Streptococcus agalac- Not Detected tiae by PCR (ARUP) Streptococcus pneu-Not Detected moniae by PCR (ARUP)

Neisseria meningitidis Not Detected by PCR (ARUP) Streptococcus agalac- Not Detected tiae by PCR (ARUP) Streptococcus pneu- Not Detected moniae by PCR (ARUP) Cytomegalovirus by Not Detected PCR (ARUP) Enterovirus by PCR Not Detected (ARUP) Herpes simplex virus Not Detected 1 by PCR (ARUP) Herpes simplex virus Not Detected 2 by PCR (ARUP) Human herpesvirus 6 Not Detected by PCR (ARUP) Human parechovirus Not Detected by PCR (ARUP) Varicella zoster virus Not Detected by PCR (ARUP) Cryptococcus neofor- Detected ! mans/gattii by PCR

(ARUP)



False-negative serum CrAg screen

	Cryptococcal Antigen Screen, Blood Negative	Negative	
Cryptococcal <1:1	Ag Titer, Blood		1:80 *

Several reasons have been proposed:

- A low level of cryptococcal antigen
- Presence of non-specific proteins that may mask the cryptococcal antigen

Post-zone phenomenon

- o First described by Stamm and colleagues in 1980
- o False-negative test caused by excessive antigen relative to antibodies
 - Very high concentrations of Cryptococcal antigen in a sample out-compete the antigen-antibody complex in the test strip for binding on the anti-CrAg monoclonal antibodies in the test line
 - In the absence of significant binding with the labeled antigen-antibody complex, the test line remains difficult to visualize and is typically read as negative





Additional data?

Would you send out the isolate for antifungal susceptibility testing?

- A. Yes
- B. No



CSF analysis

Fungus Culture

Rare Cryptococcus neoformans !

Susceptibility to Follow

Fungus Stain

No fungal elements seen

Comment: Cryptococcus neoformans Organism identified by client YSTMIC Fluconazole 8 Not all antifungal drugs attain adequate concentrations in all body sites. See the ARUP LTD for additional information. If testing and reporting additional antifungal agents is required, please contact the laboratory. Voriconazole 0.12 Not all antifungal drugs attain adequate concentrations in all body sites. See the ARUP LTD for additional information. If testing and reporting additional antifungal agents is required, please contact the laboratory. 1 Amphotericin B Not all antifungal drugs attain adequate concentrations in all body sites. See the ARUP LTD for additional information. If testing and reporting additional antifungal agents is required, please contact the laboratory. See Note Interpretive Information At the present time there are no CLSI guidelines for performance and/or interpretation of susceptibility testing for the above organism and/or the indicated antimicrobial agent(s). Performed By: ARUP Laboratories

Imaging



CT chest w/o contrast: 1. No acute abnormality. 2. Small hypoenhancing inferior splenic lesion likely representing lymphoma, decreased in size from 10/25/2022. 3. Mosaic attenuation pattern throughout the lungs suggestive of gas trapping. 4. Stable areas of bandlike atelectasis versus scarring within the bilateral upper lobes. 5. Stable nonspecific 7 mm right middle lobe nodule and a few other scattered pulmonary micronodules.



MRI brain w/wo contrast: 1. No evidence of intraparenchymal mass lesion or acute territorial infarction. 2. Questionable areas of FLAIR abnormality within a few sulci which could represent meningitis changes but are nonspecific.



Outline



- Background
- Management
- Antifungal resistance mechanisms
- Emerging treatment modalities

Background

- More than 30 species of the genus *Cryptococcus* have been described, but infection in humans primarily caused by *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii*
- Uncommon infection among HSCT recipients
 - o TRANSNET Database from 2001-2006: 6 cases among 875 HSCT recipients and 12-month cumulative incidence 0.4%
 - Decreased incidence in this population, in contrast to SOT recipients, may be related to the widespread use of azole antifungal prophylaxis or differences in immunosuppression
- Timing of infection has ranged from pre-transplant to 6 months post-transplant
- Thymic regeneration in HSCT recipients may render T cells more effective against *Cryptococcus* species
- T-helper 1 (Th1) cell response characterized by the production of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., interferon-gamma) is protective against cryptococcosis
 - In HSCT recipients, the conditioning regimen results in damage to the host tissue, especially the intestinal mucosa, and stimulates the secretion of inflammatory cytokines
 - In allogeneic HSCT recipients, these cytokines further activate antigen-presenting cells and, ultimately, donor T cells, leading to the proliferation of Th1 cells
- T-helper 2 (Th2) cell response with the induction of interleukin 10 is associated with disease progression
 - In autologous HSCT recipients, the production and messenger RNA expression of Th1 cytokines is severely impaired, whereas the levels of Th2 cytokines is relatively high
 - o This may account for the susceptibility of autologous HSCT recipients to cryptococcal disease

Management

Table 3.	Antifungal	Treatment	Recommendations	for	Cryptococcal	Meningoencephalitis	in
Transplant	t Recipients						

Regimen	Duration	Evidence
Induction therapy: ^a liposomal AmB (3–4 mg/kg per day) or ABLC (5 mg/kg per day) plus flucytosine (100 mg/kg per day)	2 weeks	B-III
Alternatives for induction therapy		
Liposomal AmB (6 mg/kg per day) or ABLC (5 mg/kg per day)	4-6 weeks	B-III
AmBd (0.7 mg/kg per day) ^b	4-6 weeks	B-III
Consolidation therapy: fluconazole (400–800 mg per day)	8 weeks	B-III
Maintenance therapy: fluconazole (200-400 mg per day)	6 months to 1 year	B-III

NOTE. ABLC, amphotericin B lipid complex; AmB, amphotericin B; AmBd, amphotericin B deoxycholate.

^a Immunosuppressive management may require sequential or step-wise reductions.

^b Many transplant recipients have been successfully treated with AmBd; however, issues of renal dysfunction with calcineurin inhibitors are important and the effective dose is imprecise.

Resistance mechanisms to current agents

Fig. 1: Mechanisms governing resistance to current antifungal agents in *Cryptococcus* spp.



犹 Cityof Hope.

Fluconazole MIC distribution for Cryptococcus



- Prevalence of fluconazole non-susceptible *Cryptococcus* is increasing over time, risking the efficacy of long-established standard dosing
- Chesdachai and colleagues summarized 21 studies with 11,049 clinical Cryptococcus isolates
 - $\,\circ\,$ The median MIC_{50} trended upwards from 4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ in 2000-2012 to 8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ in 2014-2018

Single, high dose liposomal amphotericin B



ESTABLISHED IN 1812

MARCH 24, 2022

VOL. 386 NO. 12

Single-Dose Liposomal Amphotericin B Treatment for Cryptococcal Meningitis

J.N. Jarvis, D.S. Lawrence, D.B. Meya, E. Kagimu, J. Kasibante, E. Mpoza, M.K. Rutakingirwa, K. Ssebambulidde,
L. Tugume, J. Rhein, D.R. Boulware, H.C. Mwandumba, M. Moyo, H. Mzinganjira, C. Kanyama, M.C. Hosseinipour,
C. Chawinga, G. Meintjes, C. Schutz, K. Comins, A. Singh, C. Muzoora, S. Jjunju, E. Nuwagira, M. Mosepele,
T. Leeme, K. Siamisang, C.E. Ndhlovu, A. Hlupeni, C. Mutata, E. van Widenfelt, T. Chen, D. Wang, W. Hope,
T. Boyer-Chammard, A. Loyse, S.F. Molloy, N. Youssouf, O. Lortholary, D.G. Lalloo, S. Jaffar, and T.S. Harrison,
for the Ambition Study Group*

Single, high dose liposomal amphotericin B



20

0

Liposomal Amphotericin B

Death from Any Cause at 10 Weeks

Cityof Hope.

Control



DRUG ENCAPSULATION

- The cochleate is prepared using naturally occurring phospholipids and calcium.
- When calcium interacts with the negatively charged lipids, they spiral into non-toxic, highly stable crystalline units with multiple layers and little to no internal aqueous space.
- The active drug molecules become trapped within the layers, where they are protected from water and harmful external elements.



INTRACELLULAR RELEASE

- 4 The cochleate is delivered into the body and directly targets the clinically relevant cells.
- 5 It then fuses with the cell membrane in a nondestructive manner.
- 5 The low calcium environment of the cell's interior causes the cochleate to unlock and unwind, releasing the drug into the target cell.



LNC MODEL

- Lower plasma levels of active drug
- Enters cells directly, releasing the drug content into the infected cell membrane
- Likely to result in less systemic toxicity





DEVELOPMENT STAGES



Encochleated Oral Amphotericin for Cryptococcal Meningitis Trial (EnACT) PHASE 2

OBJECTIVE

An NIH-funded, prospective randomized trial evaluating the safety, tolerability and efficacy of MAT2203 in HIVinfected patients with cryptococcal meningitis, compared to treatment with standard IV-administered amphotericin B as induction therapy. It will ultimately assess the potential for all-oral induction and maintenance therapy with MAT2203.

OVERVIEW

Study Population: 140 patients

Inclusion Criteria: HIV-infected patients with cryptococcal meningitis.

Trial Design: 100 patients will receive MAT2203 and flucytosine (5-FC) in 4 stages, with escalating durations of MAT2203 and decreasing durations of IV amphotericin B. In cohorts 2 and 4, 40 control patients will receive the standard of care – IV-administered amphotericin B and 5-FC. Patients will receive induction treatment for 14 days, followed by consolidation therapy for up to 10 weeks. An independent Data Monitoring Committee assured safety and efficacy throughout the trial.

Results: Analyses of final data from Cohort 2 of EnACT demonstrated (i) survival at Day 30 (early survival) of 98% in patients receiving MAT2203 vs. 88% in patients receiving IV Amphotericin B (SOC); and (ii) culture conversion (sterility) assessed at any time during the trial of 97% in patients receiving MAT2203 and 76% in patients receiving SOC.

Interim results from Cohort 4, which evaluated the safety and efficacy of an all-oral regimen of MAT2203, were presented at IDWeek 2022. CSF yeast clearance rate exceeded the prespecified primary endpoint threshold target of >0.20, interim survival is currently 90%, while the survival rate at Week 2 was 95%; note that Week 2 survival is the prespecified primary endpoint for the MAT2203 Phase 3 registration trial in cryptococcal meningitis.



Study Overview

Brief Summary:

This pivotal, confirmatory trial seeks to independently verify the results observed in the EnACT Phase II Stage 2 trial (MB-70007).

Detailed Description:

Pivotal, prospective, randomized, open-label, non-inferiority trial to compare the efficacy and safety of step-down induction and consolidation therapy for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis with oral MAT2203 plus flucytosine to standard of care therapy. Randomization will by 1:1:1 to one of two experimental arms or standard of care.

OFFICIAL TITLE

Encochleated Oral Amphotericin for Cryptococcal Meningitis Trial 3

CONDITIONS

Cryptococcal Meningitis

INTERVENTION / TREATMENT

Drug: MAT2203

Drug: Amphotericin B

STUDY START (ESTIMATED) 2023-01 PRIMARY COMPLETION (ESTIMATED) 2024-10 STUDY COMPLETION (ESTIMATED) 2025-01 ENROLLMENT (ESTIMATED) 270 STUDY TYPE Interventional PHASE **1** Phase 3 OTHER STUDY ID NUMBERS MB-70018 CTA 0217 (Other Identifier) (OTHER: Uganda National Drug Authority (NDA))

+



Phase III Pivotal Study Design to Assess MAT2203 as step-down therapy after 2 days of IV AMB for the Treatment of Cryptococcal Meningitis



Study Initiation Q1 2023

NDA Filing H1 2025

PRIMARY ENDPOINT: All-cause mortality at 2 weeks in non-inferiority trial; NI Margin 10% Key Secondary Efficacy Endpoint:

Survival time through 10 weeks without *Cryptococcus* culture-positive relapse of meningitis

APX001 (fosmanogepix)



- Inhibits Gwt1, a target specific to fungal cells
- Gwt1 inhibition blocks mannoprotein transport
- Lack of mannoproteins on cell wall and stress response lead to fungal cell death
- Broad spectrum
- Wide tissue distribution
- Favorable safety and DDI profile
- Fast track status and orphan drug designation by the US FDA for 7 infections including cryptococcosis

My patients

Current patient

- Induction therapy: Ambisome 3mg/kg/day + flucytosine 100mg/kg/day
- Repeat LP (*done in 28 days due to obstacles with insurance coverage*): WBC 32, lymph 89%, mono 8%, macro 3%, RBC 0, protein 73, glucose 53; CSF CrAg 1:5, CSF fungal stain/culture negative
- o Repeat serum CrAg 1:10
- o Currently on consolidation therapy with voriconazole

Another patient

- with history of idiopathic CD4/CD8 lymphopenia complicated by disseminated cryptococcal infection in 7/2019, maintained on posaconazole, was then diagnosed with peripheral T-cell lymphoma in 3/2022, achieved CR with BV-CHP and subsequently underwent MUD allogeneic HSCT with fludarabine/melphalan conditioning (cyclophosphamide/tacrolimus/MMF GvHD prophylaxis) on 6/29/23, who developed Cryptococcal meningitis on 7/26/23, with course c/b ambisome-induced renal insufficiency.
- o Currently on MAT2203 under a single patient emergency IND

References

- Stamm AM, Polt SS. False-negative cryptococcal antigen test. JAMA 1980; 244: 1359
- Kojima N, Chimombo M, Kahn DG. False-negative cryptococcal antigen test due to the postzone phenomenon. AIDS. 2018 Jun 1;32(9):1201-1202.
- Yadava SK, Fazili T. Postzone phenomenon resulting in a false-negative cerebral spinal fluid cryptococcal antigen lateral flow assay. AIDS2019; 33: 1099–1100
- Sun HY, Wagener MM, Singh N. Cryptococcosis in solid-organ, hematopoietic stem cell, and tissue transplant recipients: evidence-based evolving trends. Clin Infect Dis. 2009 Jun 1;48(11):1566-76
- Dimitrios P. Kontoyiannis and others, Prospective Surveillance for Invasive Fungal Infections in Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Recipients, 2001–2006: Overview of the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network (TRANSNET) Database, *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, Volume 50, Issue 8, 15 April 2010, Pages 1091–1100
- Nematollahi S, Dioverti-Prono V. Cryptococcal infection in haematologic malignancies and haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Mycoses. 2020 Oct;63(10):1033-1046
- Firacative C, Carvajal SK, Escandón P, Lizarazo J. Cryptococcosis in Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Recipients: A Rare Presentation Warranting Recognition. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2020 Aug 19;2020:3713241
- John R. Perfect and others, Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Cryptococcal Disease: 2010 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, Volume 50, Issue 3, 1 February 2010, Pages 291–322
- Marchetti O, Lamoth F, Mikulska M, Viscoli C, Verweij P, Bretagne S; European Conference on Infections in Leukemia (ECIL) Laboratory Working Groups. ECIL recommendations for the use of biological markers for the diagnosis of invasive fungal diseases in leukemic patients and hematopoietic SCT recipients. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2012 Jun;47(6):846-54
- Supavit Chesdachai and others, Minimum Inhibitory Concentration Distribution of Fluconazole Against Cryptococcus Species and the Fluconazole Exposure Prediction Model, Open Forum Infectious Diseases, Volume 6, Issue 10, October 2019
- Iyer, K.R., Revie, N.M., Fu, C. et al. Treatment strategies for cryptococcal infection: challenges, advances and future outlook. Nat Rev Microbiol 19, 454–466 (2021)
- Matinas Biopharma. https://www.matinasbiopharma.com/. Accessed 9.6.23
- Hoenigl M, Sprute R, Egger M, Arastehfar A, Cornely OA, Krause R, Lass-Flörl C, Prattes J, Spec A, Thompson GR 3rd, Wiederhold N, Jenks JD. The Antifungal Pipeline: Fosmanogepix, Ibrexafungerp, Olorofim, Opelconazole, and Rezafungin. Drugs. 2021 Oct;81(15):1703-1729



Thank you! Questions? Comments?



