Altered monocyte phenotype and dysregulated innate cytokine responses among people living with HIV and opioid-use disorder


Background: Opioid-use disorders (OUD) and hepatitis C or B co-infection (HEP) are common among people living with HIV (PLHIV). The impact of OUD on innate and adaptive immunity among PLHIV with and without HEP is unknown.

Objectives: To investigate the impact of OUD on monocyte and T-cell phenotypes, cytokine responses to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and phytohemagglutinin (PHA), and plasma inflammatory markers, among PLHIV with and without HEP.

Methods: Cross-sectional study enrolling PLHIV receiving ART, with and without OUD. Flow cytometry determined monocyte and T-cell phenotypes; LPS and PHA-induced cytokine production was assessed following LPS and PHA stimulation by multiplex cytokine array; plasma IL-6, soluble CD163, and soluble CD14 were measured by ELISA.

Results: Twenty-two PLHIV with OUD and 37 PLHIV without OUD were included. PLHIV with OUD exhibited higher frequencies of intermediate (CD14\(^{+\cdotp+}\)CD16\(^{+}\)) and nonclassical (CD14\(^{dim}\)CD16\(^{+}\)) monocytes when compared with PLHIV without OUD (\(P = 0.0025; P = 0.0001\), respectively), regardless of HEP co-infection. Soluble CD163 and monocyte cell surface CD163 expression was increased among PLHIV with OUD and HEP, specifically. Regardless of HEP co-infection, PLHIV with OUD exhibited reduced production of IL-10, IL-8, IL-6, IL-1alpha, and TNF-alpha in response to LPS when compared with PLHIV without OUD; PHA-induced production of IL-10, IL-1alpha, IL-1beta, IL-6, and TNF-alpha were also reduced among individuals with OUD.

Conclusion: OUD among PLHIV are associated with altered monocyte phenotypes and a dysregulated innate cytokine response. Defining underlying mechanisms of opioid-associated innate immune dysregulation among PLHIV should be prioritized to identify optimal OUD treatment strategies.
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Introduction

Chronic opioid use among people living with HIV (PLHIV) is a public health crisis, with 25–57% of PLHIV dependent on opioids [1]. PLHIV with opioid-use disorders (OUD) have a higher risk of death and progression to AIDS, as compared with PLHIV without a substance use disorder (SUD), even after adjustments for comorbidities and adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART) are considered [1–3]. Among PLHIV with OUD, rates of hepatitis C co-infection continue to rise, and the impact of OUD on host immunity among co-infected...
individuals has not been characterized [4]. Chronic immune activation is associated with progression to AIDS, as well as excessive non-AIDS-related morbidity and mortality [5–9]. It remains unknown if OUD impact the burden of chronic immune activation among PLHIV with and without hepatitis C or B (HEP) co-infection.

During untreated HIV-infection, markers of T-cell activation and exhaustion are highly correlated with progression to AIDS and death [10–14]. However, among PLHIV on ART, monocytes and their plasma mediators are significant drivers of inflammation, and biomarkers reflective of innate immune activation independently predict mortality [15–17]. Opioids may exacerbate HIV-associated innate immune activation by accelerating intestinal epithelial damage to promote systemic translocation of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [18–20], resulting in dysregulation of innate immunity [5,7]. Altered monocyte phenotype has been observed among individuals with AIDS, PLHIV with poorly controlled viral load, and SUD [21–23]. We hypothesized that PLHIV on ART with OUD would exhibit alterations in monocyte phenotype and functional responses, and a higher burden of immune activation and systemic inflammation, when compared with PLHIV without OUD. To address this, we compared ex-vivo monocyte and T-cell phenotypes, soluble indicators of systemic inflammation, and cytokine responses to LPS and the mitogen phytohemagglutinin (PHA), among PLHIV, with and without HEP co-infection and OUD (OUD+/HEP+; OUD+/HEP−), and a reference population of PLHIV without OUD (OUD−/HEP−).

Materials and methods

Participant recruitment and ethics statement

PLHIV with OUD were recruited through CTN-0055 CHOICES study from 2014 to 2015 (clinicaltrials.gov NCT01908062). CTN-0055 was an open-label, randomized, pilot trial of extended release naltrexone versus treatment-as-usual for treatment of OUD, alcohol use disorders (AUD), and mixed OUD/AUD in PLHIV [24]. Preintervention blood samples from CTN-0055 participants with OUD or mixed OUD/AUD were used for this analysis. CTN-0055 was conducted by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) Clinical Trials Network (CTN) and approved by Institutional Review Boards (IRB) at Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) and pilot sites. PLHIV without OUD (OUD−/HEP−) were recruited from OHSU HIV primary care clinic (2014–2016) through an independent, OHSU-approved IRB protocol. To be eligible for the OUD−/HEP− cohort, individuals were 18–65 years of age, not pregnant, and denied current or recent (past 12 months) use of: opioids (including opioid-containing medications), cocaine, methamphetamines, daily cannabis, and daily alcohol. Relevant demographic and medical information including age, sex, ethnicity, tobacco use, CD4+ T-cell count, HIV viral load, hepatitis B and hepatitis C serostatus, ART, diagnosis of OUD, SUD, or AUD in past 12 months, was obtained from participant medical records. All study participants provided written, informed consent.

PBMC and plasma processing and storage

Up to 32 ml of peripheral blood was collected into CPT Vacutainer tubes (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA) at a single time point. Samples were centrifuged within 2 h of collection, and PBMC and plasma mixed by inversion. Samples from CTN-0055 CHOICES participants were shipped at room temperature, and plasma and PBMC processing completed within 24 h of collection. PBMC were cryopreserved in 10% DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, Missouri, USA) in fetal bovine serum with 0.1% Gentamicin; undiluted plasma was stored at −80°C. Plasma and PBMC from OUD−/HEP− participants were collected, processed, and stored following an identical protocol, including a 24 h delay following centrifugation to replicate conditions for CTN-0055 CHOICES samples. The number of participants included in each assay are shown in Supplemental Figure 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557.

Flow cytometry

The following reagents were utilized: cell viability (Live/Dead Fixable Green or Aqua; Invitrogen, Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), anti-CD3 [APC-H7, BD Biosciences, clone SK7; PerCP, BioLegend (San Diego, California, USA), clone UCHT1], anti-HLA-DR (BV421, BioLegend, clone L243), anti-CD14 (BV605, BD Biosciences, clone M5E2, BV510, BioLegend, clone M5E2), anti-PD-1 (BV650 BioLegend, clone EH12.2H7), anti-CD38 (BV711, BioLegend, clone HIT2), anti-CD4 (BV785, BioLegend, clone OKT4), anti-CD8 (FITC, BioLegend, clone RPA-T8), anti-CD57 (APC, BioLegend, clone HNK-1), anti-CD28 (PE-Cy7, BioLegend, clone 28.2), anti-CD19 (PerCP, BioLegend, clone SJ25C1), anti-CD56 (PerCP, BioLegend, clone HCD56), anti-CD16 (APC, BioLegend, clone 3G8), and anti-CD163 (PE, BioLegend, clone GHI/61). Isotypes assessed for nonspecific binding; FMOs were used to set gates for positive and negative staining. Cells were acquired using a BD Fortessa or Symphony and analyzed using FlowJo (v 9.8.5). Boolean gating calculated co-expression of markers.

Plasma ELISA

Plasma cytokine quantifications were performed using commercial kits according to the manufacturers’ instructions: IL-6 (BioLegend), sCD14 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) and sCD163 (Invitrogen).

PBMC stimulation and measurement of cytokine production

PBMC were cultured in 96-well plates (10^6 cells/well) in serum-free medium (XVNS–15; Lonza), with or without ultrapure LPS from Salmonella enterica serovar Minnesota
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mutant R595 (10 ng/ml; Invitrogen) or PHA (10 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich). Cell-free tissue supernatants were collected at 18 h and stored at −20 °C for batched analysis. Cytokine quantifications in cell-free culture supernatants at 1:2 dilution were performed using a customized multiplex cytokine array, including: IFN-α2, IFN-γ, IL-10, IL-12/IFN-γ, IL-15, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, MCP-1, and TNF-α (Luminex 200 System, EMD-Millipore-Sigma). IL-8 levels were above the highest standard in the multiplex array, and retested using aliquoted culture supernatant by commercial ELISA (BioLegend) at a 1:20 (rest) or 1:40 (LPS/PHA) dilution according to manufacturer’s instructions.

**Statistical analysis**
Quantitative variables were presented as medians with interquartile range (IQR) for comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics, and chi-squared or Fisher’s exact test applied (Table 1). Comparison of nonnormally distributed data between all CTN-0055 CHOICES participants (regardless of HEP co-infection) and our PLHIV reference group, was performed using a Mann–Whitney U-test (Supplemental Tables 1–3, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557; Figs. 1 and 3). Due to concern that HEP co-infection could be confounding, a three-group comparison (OUD+/HEP+; OUD+/HEP−; OUD−/HEP−) was performed using the Kruskal–Wallis test (Supplemental Tables 1–3, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557; Fig. 2). To assess associations between immunologic variables and participant characteristics, Gamma regression was employed in a multivariate model with and without adjustment for HIV viral load, age, and sex (Table 2). Spearman Rank analysis assessed for correlations between immunologic variables. Due to restricted sample size and exploratory nature of this pilot, correction for multiple comparisons was not performed and the individual error rate is considered rather than the family-wise error rate. The level of significance alpha = 0.05 was set for each test. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA).

**Results**

**Characteristics of study participants**
Twenty-two PLHIV with OUD enrolled in CTN-0055 CHOICES study [24], and a reference population of 37 PLHIV without OUD (OUD−/HEP−), were included. Of 22 PLHIV with OUD, all met DSM-V criteria for untreated, moderate-to-severe OUD, with 7 of 22 classified as mixed OUD/AUD (Table 1). HEP co-infection was common among CTN-0055 participants, whom were also more likely to have a detectable HIV viral load and to be female, as compared with the OUD−/HEP− cohort (Table 1). Age and CD4+ cell count were similar between populations, and all individuals were prescribed ART.

**Opioid-use disorder is associated with altered monocyte phenotype among people living with HIV**
To determine if OUD was associated with altered monocyte phenotype among PLHIV, monocytes were identified in PBMC by flow cytometry based on light scatter properties and expression of CD14+ and CD16+, and classified as exhibiting classical (CD14++CD16−), intermediate (CD14++CD16+), and nonclassical (CD14dimCD16+) phenotypes (Supplemental Figure 2, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557) [25]. In unadjusted analysis, we compared results among all CTN-0055 CHOICES participants regardless of HEP co-infection (OUD+/HEP+ and OUD+/HEP−) to our PLHIV reference population (OUD−/HEP−; Supplemental Table 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557). Here we found significant differences in frequencies of classical, intermediate, and nonclassical monocytes between populations with and without OUD (P = 0.0002, P = 0.0025, and P = 0.0001, respectively; Fig. 1). As HEP co-infection could confound results, subsequent analysis was performed after assigning individuals to three cohorts based on HEP co-infection and OUD (Supplemental Table 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557; 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CTN-0055 CHOICES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUD+/HEP+, n = 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (median/IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.5 (42–56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed AUD/AUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (91.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On ART</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+ (cells/μl) (median/IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>682 (302–975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetectable Viral load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV viral load&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; (median/IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530 (267–1738)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>AUD</sup>, alcohol-use disorders; ART, antiretroviral therapy; HEP, hepatitis C or B co-infection; IQR, interquartile range; OUD, opioid-use disorders.  
<sup>a</sup>Kruskal–Wallis.  
<sup>b</sup>Chi-squared.  
<sup>c</sup>Fisher’s exact between OUD+/HEP+ and OUD+/HEP−.  
<sup>d</sup>Among individuals with a detectable HIV viral load.
Table 2. Comparison of immune phenotypes and cytokine responses among cohorts using multivariate model with and without adjustment for HIV viral load, age, and sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell phenotype</th>
<th>CTN-0055 CHOICES</th>
<th>PLHIV reference</th>
<th>Comparisons between cohorts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ mean (SD)</td>
<td>OUD+/HEP− mean (SD)</td>
<td>OUD−/HEP− mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8CD38+ (%)</td>
<td>29.1 (5.63)</td>
<td>27.5 (5.3)</td>
<td>14.8 (2.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8HLADRa (%)</td>
<td>8.62 (1.90)</td>
<td>8.4 (1.85)</td>
<td>4.46 (0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD14CD16+ (%)</td>
<td>69.85 (7.19)</td>
<td>63.90 (6.58)</td>
<td>85.38 (6.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD14CD16+ (%)</td>
<td>19.09 (5.35)</td>
<td>22.19 (6.22)</td>
<td>7.10 (1.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPS cytokine response (pg/ml)</td>
<td>CTN-0055 CHOICES</td>
<td>PLHIV reference</td>
<td>Comparisons between cohorts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ mean (SD)</td>
<td>OUD+/HEP− mean (SD)</td>
<td>OUD−/HEP− mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>53.26 (17.85)</td>
<td>51.97 (18.35)</td>
<td>185.9 (46.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>12296 (1968)</td>
<td>15379 (2594)</td>
<td>30737 (3667)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>2981 (613.8)</td>
<td>3491 (757.7)</td>
<td>9163 (1446)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>98.3 (24.51)</td>
<td>66.5 (17.47)</td>
<td>174.9 (32.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>1083 (239.6)</td>
<td>1349 (314.6)</td>
<td>2911 (480)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA cytokine response (pg/ml)</td>
<td>CTN-0055 CHOICES</td>
<td>PLHIV reference</td>
<td>Comparisons between cohorts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ mean (SD)</td>
<td>OUD+/HEP− mean (SD)</td>
<td>OUD−/HEP− mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>84.02 (8.6)</td>
<td>80.2 (28.7)</td>
<td>372.2 (94.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Adjusted for HIV viral load, age, and sex.
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHA cytokine response (pg/ml)</th>
<th>CTN-0055 CHOICES</th>
<th>PLHIV reference</th>
<th>Comparisons between cohorts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ mean (SD)</td>
<td>OUD+/HEP− mean (SD)</td>
<td>OUD−/HEP− mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>128.9 (34)</td>
<td>103.6 (28.8)</td>
<td>343 (67.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.16–0.59)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD+/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.59–2.64)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.20–0.87)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.12–0.55)</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.69–3.86)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>673.1 (203)</td>
<td>412 (131)</td>
<td>1612 (362.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.38–1.43)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD+/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.38–1.68)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.15–0.48)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.19–0.61)</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.43–1.48)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.18–0.51)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>3373 (730)</td>
<td>4236 (966)</td>
<td>12625 (2494)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>(0.35–1.14)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-alpha</td>
<td>1787 (369)</td>
<td>2025 (615.4)</td>
<td>5839 (899)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP− vs. OUD−/HEP−</td>
<td>0.74–1.44</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OUD+/HEP+ vs. OUD+/HEP−</td>
<td>1.15–2.42</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; HEP, hepatitis C or B co-infection; IQR, interquartile range; OUD, opioid-use disorders.

aAdjustment for HIV viral load, age, and sex.
When adjusted for HIV viral load, age, and sex, in our multivariate model (Table 2), frequencies of intermediate and nonclassical monocytes were significantly higher in the OUD+/HEP+ as compared with the OUD+/HEP− cohort (P = 0.026 and 0.039, respectively). Frequencies of intermediate and nonclassical monocytes were also significantly higher in OUD+/HEP− as compared with OUD−/HEP− (P = 0.047 and 0.0002, respectively) cohort (Table 2). Frequencies of classical, intermediate, and nonclassical monocytes did not differ significantly between OUD+/HEP+ and OUD+/HEP− cohorts (Table 2).

The proportion of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells expressing activation markers CD38 and/or HLA-DR, exhaustion marker PD-1, and molecules associated with immunosenescence (CD28−CD57+ T cells), were compared among OUD+/HEP+, OUD+/HEP−, and OUD−/HEP− cohorts. Although unadjusted analysis revealed evidence for differences in the burden of activated CD8+ T cells among OUD+/HEP+, OUD+/HEP−, and OUD−/HEP− cohorts (Supplemental Table 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557), following adjustments for HIV viral load, age, and sex, these differences were no longer significant (Table 2).

**Hepatitis C co-infection is associated with increased cell surface and soluble CD163 among people living with HIV with opioid-use disorders**

CD163 is a cell-surface glycoprotein scavenger receptor highly expressed on monocytes and tissue macrophages, that serves as a receptor for hemoglobin–haptoglobin complexes [26]. CD163 is shed into plasma upon monocyte recognition of LPS via TLR-4 [27], and plasma sCD163 is considered a marker of HIV disease activity, and monocyte/macrophage-mediated inflammation [17,28,29]. In unadjusted, three-group analysis, we observed a trend towards significant differences in cell surface expression of CD163 on classical and intermediate monocytes among OUD+/HEP+, OUD+/HEP−, and OUD−/HEP− cohorts (Fig. 2; Supplemental Table 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557). Following adjustment for HIV viral load, age, and sex (Table 2), we found that the OUD+/HEP+ cohort exhibited significantly higher cell surface CD163 expression on classical and intermediate monocytes, when compared with either...
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Opioid-use disorder is associated with dysregulated innate cytokine production among people living with HIV

Animal models and in-vitro studies suggest that opioid exposure alters cytokine production from mitogen or LPS-stimulated PBMC [30–32]. We compared production of 14 cytokines by PBMC stimulated with either the TLR-4 ligand LPS, or mitogen PHA, between CTN-0055 CHOICES participants and our PLHIV reference population (Supplemental Table 2, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557). In unadjusted analysis, LPS-induced production of IL-10, IL-1α, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α, was significantly different among individuals with and without OUD (Fig. 3; Supplemental Table 2, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557). Following cohort assignment based on HEP co-infection and adjustment for HIV viral load, age, and sex (Table 2), LPS-induced production of IL-10, IL-1α, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α remained significantly reduced in both OUD+/HEP+ and OUD+/HEP− cohorts, as compared with the OUD−/HEP− reference. We observed no significant differences in LPS-induced cytokine production between OUD+/HEP+ and OUD+/HEP− cohorts (Table 2). Thus, a dysregulated cytokine response to LPS is observed among PLHIV with OUD, regardless of HEP co-infection.

In unadjusted analysis, PHA-induced production of IL-10, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α, was significantly different between CTN-0055 CHOICES participants and our PLHIV reference population (Fig. 3; Supplemental Table 3, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557). Following cohort...
assignment based on HEP co-infection and adjustment for
HIV viral load, age, and sex, in our multivariate model
(Table 2), differences in PHA-induced production of IL-10,
IL-1α, IL-6, and TNF-α remained significantly reduced
between OUD+/HEP+ and OUD+/HEP− cohorts, and the OUD−/HEP− reference group. PHA-induced
production of IL-1β was significantly reduced when
comparing results between OUD+/HEP+ and OUD−/HEP− cohorts, specifically, in our adjusted analysis
(P = 0.008; Table 2). There were no significant differences
in PHA-induced cytokine production between OUD+/HEP+ and OUD+/HEP− cohorts (Table 2). There were
no significant differences in PHA-induced production of
IL-2, IFN-γ, or IL-4 among cohorts (Supplemental Table 3,

Monocyte phenotype is strongly correlated with
lipopolysaccharide-induced cytokine production
We performed Spearman Rank correlation analysis to
examine relationships between frequencies of classical
and intermediate monocytes, and LPS-induced production
of IL-6, IL-10, IL-8, TNF-α, MCP-1, IL-1α, and IL-1β.
Correlations between frequency of intermediate monocytes
and plasma sCD14 and sCD163, plasma sCD163 and plasma IL-6, and intermediate monocyte CD163+ MFI versus plasma sCD163 were also assessed. The frequency of classical monocytes was significantly correlated with production of IL-6 (r s = 0.713; P < 0.0001), IL-10 (r s = 0.625; P < 0.0001), and IL-8 (r s = 0.520; P = 0.0019). Negative associations between the frequency of intermediate monocytes and production of TNF-α (r s = −0.446; P = 0.0092), IL-1α (r s = −0.548; P = 0.0010), and IL-1β (r s = −0.452; P = 0.0083), were observed. No other significant correlations were identified (Supplemental Table 4,

Discussion

Here we report that OUD in PLHIV is associated with increased frequencies of CD16+ intermediate and nonclassical monocytes, and reduced production of both proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory innate cytokines in response to LPS and PHA, regardless of HEP co-infection. We have identified a higher burden of cell surface and soluble CD163 among PLHIV with OUD and HEP co-infection specifically, indicating an increased burden of monocyte activation in this population (see model, Fig. 2). In contrast, CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell phenotypes, and production of T-cell cytokines were comparable between PLHIV with and without OUD once differences in HIV viral load were considered. Thus, among PLHIV receiving ART, OUD is associated with dysregulation of innate immunity that is further exacerbated by HEP co-infection.

Monocytes that express CD16 are more susceptible to
HIV infection, promote viral replication, and have
distinctive cytokine responses to molecules, such as
LPS [25,33,34]. Increased circulating CD14++CD16+
monocytes were noted among individuals with AIDS-dementia in the pre-ART era [21], and CD14+CD16+ monocytes are key mediators of chronic neuroinflammation that are capable of crossing the blood–brain barrier (BBB) to deliver HIV into the CNS [23,35–37]. Despite the success of ART, the prevalence of HIV-associated neurologic disorder (HAND) continues to increase [38] and there remains a strong association between CD16+ monocytes and HAND [21,22,35,37,39–42]. Indeed, CD16+ monocytes that co-express CCR2 preferentially cross an in-vitro BBB model in response to CCL2/MCP-1 [36,40]. Although we did not observe significant differences in CCL2/MCP-1 production (Supplemental Tables 2 and 3, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557) among our cohorts, our findings cannot be compared with prior studies illustrating elevated levels of CCL2/MCP-1 in brain tissue and CSF of individuals with HAND as we did not have access to CNS samples for our current study. Notably, the investigational dual CCR2/CCR5 inhibitor cenicriviroc improved cognitive performance among PLHIV, and this impact is thought to be because of its association with reduced monocyte activation [43]. It is also reported that buprenorphine, a partial mu-opioid receptor agonist and complete antagonist of the kappa-opioid receptor, interferes with CCL2-mediated transmigration of human CD14+CD16+ monocytes across an in-vitro BBB system [35]. Our findings combined with prior reports, support that monocyte phenotype is modulated by opioids, and that manipulation of opioid receptors using partial agonists or antagonists could provide a novel therapeutic option to temper the consequences of chronic innate immune dysregulation among PLHIV.

There are a limited number of studies examining peripheral blood monocyte phenotype and function among PLHIV with OUD or other SUD. Calderon et al. [23], reported an increased frequency of CD16+ monocytes among PLHIV with mixed SUD as compared with PLHIV without SUD; however, only a small proportion of their participants (7.1%) were reported to be exposed to opioids, and potential confounders including HEP co-infection, and HIV viral load, were not included in the analysis. Meijerink et al. [44], reported that treatment-naïve PLHIV with heroin exposure produced reduced amounts of IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, and IFN-γ in whole blood in response to LPS when compared with PLHIV without heroin exposure. We have extended findings from these prior reports using a well characterized cohort of PLHIV with moderate-to-severe OUD [24], to demonstrate that OUD is associated with both altered monocyte phenotypes and dysregulated innate cytokine responses, and that these associations remain significant after important biologic confounders are considered.

Intermediate monocytes are primary producers of pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-1β in response to bacterial products recognized by TLR-4 and TLR-2; whereas classical monocytes are the main producers of CCL2 (MCP-1), IL-10, IL-8, and IL-6 in response to these stimuli. When examining correlations between LPS-induced cytokine production and monocyte phenotypes, we found expected positive correlations between frequency of classical monocytes and IL-6, IL-10, and IL-8 production. We identified a negative correlation between LPS-induced TNF-α or IL-1β production and frequency of intermediate monocytes. Prior reports associating monocyte phenotypes with functional responses were performed using either purified monocyte populations, in-vitro differentiated cells, or intracellular flow cytometry [45–47]. In our current ex-vivo study, cytokine responses were measured in unfractionated PBMC; thus, LPS-responsive effector cells other than monocytes [such as B cells, dendritic cells, and natural killer (NK) cells] may have contributed to dysregulated cytokine production among PLHIV with OUD. We also consider that HIV directly modulates LPS-induced immune responses independent from changes in monocyte phenotype [48], and that there are several mechanisms through which OUD may alter innate immune responses. For example, opioids can directly interact with endogenous opioid receptors expressed by monocytes [32,35,49,50], modulate TLR-4 regulation [51], and may damage intestinal mucosa leading to increased microbial translocation, chronic innate immune stimulation, and immunoparalysis [18–20,52]. Given the complexity of the human cohorts supporting our investigations and differences in experimental design, it is not surprising that we have identified patterns of LPS-induced cytokine production related to monocyte phenotype that do not precisely replicate those previously published.

Phytohaemagglutinin is a mitogen that requires accessory cells, such as monocytes, to elicit T-cell activation, proliferation, and production of IL-2 [53]. We observed reduced IL-10, IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-1β production in response to PHA among PLHIV with OUD, as compared with PLHIV without OUD. Combined with our observation that PHA-induced production of IL-2, IFN-γ, and IL-4 were comparable among all cohorts (Supplemental Table 3, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B557), our findings support that among PLHIV receiving ART with preserved CD4+ T-cell counts, OUD primarily impacts production of innate cytokines, whereas T-cell cytokine production remains intact. This defect in innate cytokine production is not specific to immune responses mediated by TLR-4, and reflects a more complex disruption of monocyte functional responses than previously recognized.

Our study examined the impact of OUD on immune phenotype and function of PLHIV with and without HEP co-infection. We identified a significant increase in CD16+ monocyte surface expression of CD163, as well
as sCD163 in plasma of co-infected individuals, when compared with HIV-monoinfected individuals with or without OUD. Stimulation of monocytes through TLR-4, as well as TLR-2 and TLR-5, triggers shedding of CD163 from the surface of monocytes [27] and sCD163 interferes with T-cell activation and proliferation. Thus, shedding of CD163 from activated monocytes and macrophages may reflect a regulatory response to limit immune activation triggered by bacterial stimuli. Our findings are consistent with those of other groups reporting a significantly higher burden of sCD163 among HIV/HEP co-infected individuals as compared to those with HIV monoinfection [54,55].

Our study has several limitations. The restricted sample size limited the power of our statistical model to assess for differences in immunologic outcomes among cohorts while accounting for all potential biologic confounders (e.g. ART adherence; OUD versus mixed OUD/AUD) and to correct for multiple comparisons. However, the associations identified within our generalized linear model between OUD, monocyte phenotype, and cytokine production, remained robust when differences in HIV viral load, age, and sex were considered. Our assessment for opioid exposure, and current SUD or AUD, among our PLHIV reference population was based on self-report and review of medical records, and testing for recent use of drugs-of-abuse was not performed. Although it is possible that some individuals in our reference population did have recent exposure to opioids or other drugs of abuse, this is highly unlikely as all individuals in this cohort were established patients recruited from a single-provider’s HIV care clinic (PTK) who volunteered to participate after careful review of the study’s goals and eligibility criteria with the principle investigator (CL). Although the collection, processing, and storage of PBMC and plasma was performed identically for all participants, we cannot exclude the possibility that subtle differences in processing time or sample handling between recruitment sites impacted immunologic outcomes.

In conclusion, OUD among PLHIV who are receiving ART is associated with altered monocyte phenotype characterized by expression of CD16, as well as dysregulated innate cytokine responses to LPS and PHA. Among HIV/HEP co-infected individuals with OUD, there is additional evidence for advanced monocyte activation as illustrated by significant elevations in cell surface and sCD163. Innate immune activation is strongly correlated to risk of death, AIDS, and non-AIDS-related morbidities among PLHIV, and CD16+ monocytes are key mediators of HAND. Thus, in addition to access to treatment for OUD, novel therapies to reduce the burden of innate immune activation and restore populations of classical monocytes, should be prioritized among PLHIV suffering from OUD.
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