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Overview

- The age-friendly communities movement: background
- The opportunity, or “whys”: the case for age-friendly communities
- Some “how-tos”: strategies for enhancing age friendliness
  - Using the case
  - Challenges and lessons learned
Background: Lifelong/Livable/Age-friendly Communities

- The lifelong/livable/community for a lifetime/age-friendly communities movement is growing nationally and internationally
- Many different models dating to the 1990s and before
- Common focus: holistic approach (physical, social, and service environments); community as well as individual responsibility for aging
What is “Age-Friendly”? 

World Health Organization definition: A community that is striving to:

- be **inclusive** and **accessible**
- promote **active ageing**
- emphasize **enablement** rather than disablement
- The focus is on the **life course** and **intergenerational interdependence**

“An age-friendly city...is friendly for all ages and not just ‘elder-friendly’.”

– WHO Global Age-Friendly Cities: A Guide, p. 72
Origin: WHO’s Active Aging Framework

WHO (2002) posited that active aging:

• Involves optimizing opportunities for health, participation, & security

• Is determined by various individual, social & infrastructure factors

These determinants account for differences in life expectancy, health status & well-being
Domains of Age Friendliness

Source: Suzanne Garon, University of Sherbrooke
Initial reasons behind WHO project: dual trends of population aging and urbanization

Objectives

For WHO:

• Identify concrete indicators of an age-friendly city
• Produce a guide to stimulate advocacy, community development and policy change to make cities more age-friendly

For participating cities (33 cities in 22 countries):

• To increase awareness of local needs, gaps and ideas for improvement in order to stimulate development of a more age-friendly city
Original Age-Friendly Collaborating Cities

**AMERICAS**
- Argentina, La Plata
- Brazil, Rio de Janeiro
- Canada, Halifax
- Canada, Portage La Prairie
- Canada, Saanich
- Canada, Sherbrooke
- Costa Rica, San Jose
- Jamaica, Kingston
- Jamaica, Montego Bay
- Mexico, Cancun
- Mexico, Mexico City
- Puerto Rico, Mayaguez
- Puerto Rico, Ponce
- USA, Portland

**EUROPE**
- Germany, Ruhr
- Ireland, Dundalk
- Italy, Udine
- Russia, Moscow
- Russia, Tuymazy
- Switzerland, Geneva
- Turkey, Istanbul
- UK, London

**AFRICA**
- Kenya, Nairobi

**EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN**
- Jordan, Amman
- Lebanon, Tripoli
- Pakistan, Islamabad

**SOUTH-EAST ASIA**
- India, New Delhi
- India, Udaipur

**WESTERN PACIFIC**
- Australia, Melbourne
- Australia, Melville
- China, Shanghai
- Japan, Himeji
- Japan, Tokyo

Credit: BC Ministry of Health
WHO Cycle for
Global Network of Age-friendly Cities and Communities

1. Joining the network
   • Involve older people
   • Baseline assessment of age-friendliness
   • Develop action plan
   • Identify indicators

2. Implementation
   • Implement action plan
   • Monitor indicators

3. Evaluate progress and continual improvement
   • Measure progress
   • Identify success and remaining gaps
   • Develop new action plan

Years 1-2

Years 3-5

Ongoing 5 year cycles
WHO Global Network of Age-Friendly Cities and Communities

400 cities and communities in 37 countries, covering over 146 million people worldwide

10+ Affiliated Programs (e.g., Pan-Canadian Age-Friendly Communities Initiative; Ireland)

- In the U.S., AARP is an affiliated program and has 163 communities and counting

Other programs, too, advance similar goals (e.g., Community AGEnda, Lifelong Communities, Village-to-Village Network)
Why Should Communities Move Toward Becoming More Age Friendly?
“Many thought leaders now believe that the communities that fare best in the 21st century will be those that both tackle the challenges and embrace the positive possibilities that an aging population creates.”

- Grantmakers in Aging (2013)
Unprecedented Social and Demographic Changes

Population aging: The older adult (65+) population is growing in size and proportion, particularly the oldest old:

- In 2014, 46 million, or 15% of U.S. residents, were 65+; by 2030, nearly 21%
  - The growth in the size of the 65+ population between 2012 is a **40.7% projected increase**, compared to a projected growth of 12.4% in the U.S. population overall
  - In 2050, the % 65+ will be 20.9% → the aging of the U.S. population will be a sustained trend
Percent of population younger than 15 and 65 and older in the U.S.
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Other Important Demographic Trends

- Rapid growth of the “oldest old”
- Urbanization/yet aging of rural populations
- Increasing diversity
- Changing housing preferences
- Concerns re: economic security
- Changes in health & prevalence of disease/disability
WHY Should We Care?

- Unlike most natural resources, **older adults are a growing resource** - the only natural resource that is increasing

- Also, **population aging presents a set of opportunities**:
  - economic benefits
  - social capital benefits
  - opportunities related to innovations in housing and physical infrastructure
  - health and other benefits

- An “age-friendly” community can benefit people of all ages and abilities
Yet...

- Although we ALL are aging, **little planning** has occurred
- **And** policy makers, business owners, community leaders, individuals have not always immediately seen the **benefits** of population aging:
  - The business case/value proposition/return on investment/economic and social case, had not been made

So...Grantmakers in Aging, through its Pfizer-funded Community AGEnda project, contracted with PSU’s Institute on Aging to develop this case
Method

- Reviewed academic and "gray" literature
- Consulted with experts
- Drafted document
- Received feedback from GIA, consultants, colleagues
- Revised
- Final formatting and release by GIA
Age-Friendly Communities (AFCs) have Economic Benefits

- Older adults are an important part of the workforce and expand the labor pool from which employers can hire and thus address labor shortages (Toossi, 2012; Burtless, 2013).

- Older workers can enhance organizational productivity and business outcomes (Aon Hewitt, 2015).

- Adults aged 55-64 start one-third more new businesses than younger adults aged 20-34 (Kauffman, 2015).
• Older adults have enormous **economic clout as consumers** (Oxford Economics, 2013).

• The older adult market is **stimulating new companies, products, services, and technologies** (Oxford Economics, 2013).

• Older adults bring **tourism dollars** (Alén, Domínguez, & Losada, 2012; Patterson, 2006).
AFCs expand *opportunities for tourism* by older adults, contributing to the *local economy*

- Tourists spend 3-4 times more on shopping while travelling than the average shopper (Patterson, 2006)
- The segment of adults age 55+ has been shown to be the group that will increase the overall volume of tourism the most (Patterson, 2006)
- Not only the substantial numbers but also the increased financial means and time flexibility make the older adult consumer segment attractive to tourism (Boksberger & Laesser, 2009)
AFCs bring opportunities related to *housing and physical infrastructure*

- AFCs have **physical environments that work for everyone** (8 80 cities, n.d.).

- The growing older adult population will **increase demand for alternative housing arrangements** (Mclllwain, 2012; Joint Center for Housing Studies (JCHS), 2014).

- AFCs offer a **continuum of housing options and supportive services, reducing the need for moves and preventing/postponing public and private expenditures for long-term institutional care** (JCHS, 2014).

- **Affordable housing can have positive economic and fiscal impacts** for the public and private sectors (Cohen & Wardrip, 2011; Wardrip, Williams, & Hague, 2011).
AFCs meet the housing needs of all by including housing with a range of prices and supportive features

• ½ of those aged 65+ who are renters or homeowners with a mortgage are housing-cost burdened (paying > 30% of their income for housing) (JCHS Harvard, 2015)
  • This limits their ability to pay for other needs and services
  • Affordable housing has a positive economic and fiscal impact for the public and private sectors (Cohen & Wardrip, 2011)

• Accessible housing with services can alleviate challenges faced by the approximately 70% of people reaching age 65 who are expected to need some form of long-term care (JCHS Harvard, 2015)
AFCs have physical environments that are accessible and *enhance mobility for everyone*

- Universal design can create **inclusive** environments, products and services that are:
  - safer (reduce falls/health care expenses)
  - accessible, attractive, and desirable for everyone
  - easily repurposed
  - add minimal in cost in new construction  
    (KC Communities for All Ages, 2013)
- Walkable communities **reduce the risk of chronic disease and improve public health and quality of life** (Kerr, Rosenberg & Frank, 2012)
- Prevention and wellness strategies focused on the physical environment can **strengthen partnerships, build efficiencies and cost savings**, and **create healthier communities** (McClellan & Rivlin, 2014)
AFCs facilitate *caregiving* by older adults, which contributes to the economy and the public good

- Older adults **provide unpaid care** for adult children, grandchildren, spouses, and other relatives
- AARP *(2015)* estimated that:
  - 40 million family caregivers provide 37 billion hours of care annually, at an average of 18 hours per week
  - Value estimated at **$470 billion**
    - More than annual national Medicaid expenditures ($469 billion)
    - More than the combined annual sales for Apple, IBM, Hewlett, and Microsoft ($467 billion)

AFCs facilitate volunteering by older adults, contributing to economic development and individual health

- Older adults volunteered nearly **2 billion hours of service valued at $45.7 billion** (Corporation for National and Community Service, 2014)
- **23.6% of people aged 65+ volunteered** (BLS, 2015)
- This **benefits non-profits and the community and also older adults themselves:**
  - a sense of purpose and accomplishment
  - increased life satisfaction
  - better physical, mental, and cognitive health
  (Grimm et al. 2007; MetLife/Civic Ventures, 2011)
AFCs help retain older adults, who make charitable contributions

- ¾ of mid-life and older adults engage in charitable giving (AARP, 2013)

- Bequests produced over $28.13 billion in charitable contributions in the U.S. in 2015
  - This is nearly 60% more than all gifts from businesses and corporations (Giving USA Foundation, 2015)

- Such testamentary gifting is expected to increase in coming years due to the growth in intergenerational transfers
  - The total wealth transfer to Baby Boomers has been estimated at $8.4 trillion (MetLife, 2010)
AFCs help retain older adults, who “care for place”

- Older adults add vibrancy by interacting with neighbors more than any other age group (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2004)

- Older adults “care for place” by volunteering, advocacy, giving advice and support, and effecting change (Wiles & Jayasinha, 2013)

In sum, AFCs attract, retain and support older adults, yielding economic, social, political, and environmental benefits
Trees grow stronger over the years, rivers wider. Likewise, with age, human beings gain immeasurable depth and breadth of experience and wisdom. That is why older persons should be not only respected and revered; they should be utilized as the rich resource to society that they are.

— United Nations Secretary General Kofi Annan
“Aging in Rural Oregon”

From “Experts in Their Own Aging” DVD
Key Elements for an Age Friendly Community

- Built Environment
- Service Environment
- Transportation
- Housing
- Communication and Information
- Community Services
- Health Services
- Outdoor Spaces and Buildings
- Employment & the Economy
- Civic Participation & Volunteering
- Respect and Social Inclusion
- Social Participation

Social Environment
Some “how-tos” or steps to take to begin enhancing community age friendliness

1. Getting started
   • Identify political and community leadership and commitment to idea
   • Organize stakeholders
   • Establish advisory committee
   • Involve older adults

http://www.eldersinaction.org/about/
Steps (cont.)

2. Begin planning
   ◦ Gather data
   ◦ Define the critical issues and priorities

3. Create a written action plan
   ◦ Endorsed by local leaders
   ◦ With monitoring mechanisms
   ◦ Include key indicators of progress
Steps (cont.)

4. Begin implementation

5. Evaluate
   - Monitor progress \textit{from the beginning}
   - Adapt, revise plan as needed

One example of how to structure the effort: the Portland/Multnomah County initiative

- One organization *coordinates* the effort (PSU Institute on Aging)

- The work is *guided* by an **Advisory Council**
  - Members represent various sectors (public and private community partners) for input into the action plan
  - Members are at the leadership level
  - Include liaisons from Commissioners’ offices

- Advisory Council was approved via resolution by City Council (and later by County Board of Commissioners)

- Advisory Council developed a charter
Structure (cont.)

- Age-friendly domain-specific working committees established, each chaired by an Advisory Council member and facilitated by an IOA staff member

- Not ALL domains represented yet; Advisory Council prioritizes each year

- Frequency of meetings:
  - Advisory Council has met monthly since 2011; likely moving to bi-quarterly then quarterly
  - Working committees generally meet monthly (but some less frequently), and some have created subcommittees
Challenges faced in our and others’ age-friendly efforts

**Multiple mayors, multiple visioning efforts** – continuity challenges

**Multiple jurisdictions** providing different services:

- City: infrastructure, maintenance, parking
- County: social services, aging services “Helpline”
- Region: transportation, long-term planning

Scarcity of government **resources**

- For coordination, maintenance, development and redevelopment
Challenges (cont.)

**Differing priorities** of stakeholders (e.g., elected officials, staff, private sector, community members) such as homelessness, low high-school graduation rates, economic development needs, bike friendliness

**Who should have responsibility** for the initiative – City? County? Non-Profit?

- Limitations in the university’s role; can coordinate, do research, provide advice, but government/non-profits/business must implement the plans

**Little funding** for coordination, operating funds

**Lack of data**: local, quantitative, neighborhood-level
Some advice

Remember that efforts such as these take time
Form partnerships; these are critically important

A collective impact approach seems to work
Collective Impact Approach

- Portland’s effort is built on partnerships following a collective impact approach, with PSU IOA serving as "backbone organization"

- Emphases:
  - Older adults as assets
  - Recognition of intergenerational interdependence
  - Engaging older adults
  - Policy adoption/change

Image: seetheconnection.com
Take advantage of windows of opportunity for policy change
Kingdon’s Agenda Setting Framework

John Kingdon’s (1984) theory of how “agenda setting” for public policy change occurs:

- Policy can be adopted/changed when a **window of opportunity** opens (e.g., visioning exercises, strategic planning effort); and

- At least 2 of 3 conditions must be realized:
  - A problem is defined/recognized (e.g., population is aging yet no planning)
  - **Policy options/alternatives exist** (e.g., age-friendly approaches)
  - **Political climate, support or will exists** (e.g., elected leader as a champion)
4 mayors, 3 visioning/planning efforts and 11 years later...

- Some successes in shaping age-friendly policies
- Partners actively engaged in policy and political processes
- There is a policy foundation on which to build to implement programs, policies
Lessons learned

1. **Time, persistence, patience** and **commitment** are required (“Slow and steady wins the race” – at least much of the time)

2. **Multi-sectoral and public-private involvement/partnerships are key** (e.g., government, community services, advocates, business, diverse communities, faith community)

3. **Not only is collaborating** with community partners essential; **so is recognizing** their contributions

4. **Existing initiatives or policy windows can provide opportunities to advance the work** - look for, take advantage of these and possible alliances

5. **Data are important**, providing the impetus for the work and support for continuation (although may or may not guide decision making)
Lessons Learned (cont.)

6. Local politics, the political process and jurisdictional issues play a key role – be aware

7. Changes in government leadership are likely - be mindful of and proactive about these

8. Local elected officials with the necessary political will to champion the work are required

9. Accept that there will be competing agendas

10. Be of service: be prepared to offer written responses, be willing to meet with and testify to government staff/elected officials/commissions

11. Embrace new challenges: for me, this initiative has taken the concept of applied research to a new level
12. Finally, recognize that the generations are intertwined: age-friendly communities are good for the young, old and everyone in between.
In conclusion:

“What we do now to make our communities good places to grow up and grow old will yield returns not only for today’s elders but also tomorrow’s – that is, for all of us.” – p. 30

http://www.giaging.org/resources/the-case-for-age-friendly-communities
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