

Drug Class Review

Quick-relief Medications for Asthma

Final Update 1 Report
Evidence Tables

October 2008



The purpose of Drug Effectiveness Review Project reports is to make available information regarding the comparative clinical effectiveness and harms of different drugs. Reports are not usage guidelines, nor should they be read as an endorsement of or recommendation for any particular drug, use, or approach. Oregon Health & Science University does not recommend or endorse any guideline or recommendation developed by users of these reports.

Original: November 2006

Update 1

Susan L. Norris, MD, MPH
Tarra K. McNally, MPH, MA
Sujata Thakurta, MPA:HA

Original report:

Susan L. Norris, MD, MPH
Po-Yin Yen, MS
Tracy L. Dana, MLS
Byron R. Care, MA
Brittany U. Burda

Drug Effectiveness Review Project
Marian McDonagh, PharmD, Principal Investigator

Oregon Evidence-based Practice Center
Mark Helfand, MD, MPH, Director

Copyright © 2008 by Oregon Health & Science University,
Portland, Oregon 97239. All rights reserved.



The medical literature relating to the topic is scanned periodically (see <http://www.ohsu.edu/xd/research/centers-institutes/evidence-based-policy-center/derp/documents/methods.cfm> for scanning process description). Upon review of the last scan, the Drug Effectiveness Review Project governance group elected not to proceed with another full update of this report based on the information contained in the scan. Some portions of the report may not be up to date. Prior versions of this report can be accessed at the [DERP website](#).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Evidence Table 1. Included studies	4
Berger 2006	4
Chakraborti 2006.....	5
Hamilos 2007	6
Nowak 2006	8
Ralston 2005.....	9
Salo 2006.....	11
Sharma 2004.....	12
van der Merwe 2006	13
Watanasomsiri 2006	14
Wraight 2004.....	15
Evidence Table 2. Quality assessment of controlled trials for quick relief medications for asthma.....	16

Evidence Table 1. Included studies

Berger, 2006		Quality rating:		Poor	
Design:					
Study design	RCT	DB	Run-in:	1-week SB	Setting: Clinic Country: USA
Sample:	# Screened / Eligible / Enrolled NR / 173/ 150			# Withdrawn / Lost to follow-up / Analyzed 16/ NR/134	
Inclusion criteria:	Children aged 4-11 years; stable asthma for at least 6 months before screening; FEV between 45% and 80% predicted with $\geq 12\%$ reversibility to 2.5 mg of nebulized racemic albuterol at screening				
Exclusion criteria:	Participation in an investigational study within 30 days of screening; known sensitivity to study medications or components; hospitalization for asthma within 60 days prior to screening; clinically significant upper or lower respiratory tract infection within 2 weeks of screening; clinically significant ECG abnormalities				
Comments					
Intervention:					
Duration:	28 days				
Drug name	Dosage	N	Mean age (years)	Gender	
Levalbuterol HFA MDI	90 μ g (2 puffs, 45 μ g/puff) qid	76	8.3	49% male	
Placebo HFA MDI		35	8.1	22% male	
Racemic albuterol HFA MDI	180 μ g (2 puffs, 90 μ g/puff) qid	39	8.6	23% male	
Outcomes:					
<u>Effectiveness Outcomes:</u>					
Symptoms: NR					
Change in treatment regimen for the exacerbation:					
		Levalbuterol	Racemic Albuterol	Placebo	
LS mean change \pm SD in rescue medication usage (days/week)		0.72 \pm 0.17*	0.62 \pm 0.24*	0.35 \pm 0.24	
LS mean number \pm SD of nebulizers/day		-0.15 \pm 0.05	-0.05 \pm 0.07	0.14 \pm 0.07	
Mean \pm SD number of asthma control days/week		5.45 \pm 1.58	5.76 \pm 1.23	4.98 \pm 1.88	
		* $P < 0.001$ levalbuterol vs. placebo; $P < 0.01$ racemic albuterol vs. placebo			
Healthcare utilization:					
<i>Quality of life</i>					
No clinically meaningful differences between the active treatments and placebo for the : Pediatric Asthma QOL Questionnaire the Child Health Questionnaire, or the patient and physician overall evaluations (data not reported)					
Mortality: NR					
<u>Other Effectiveness Outcomes and Comments:</u>					
<u>Adverse Events and Comments:</u>					
	Levalbuterol	Racemic Albuterol	Placebo		
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)		
Any adverse event	33(43.4)	22(56.4)	18(51.4)		
Discontinued due to AEs	1(1.3)	1(2.6)	3(8.6)		
Potentially related AEs	6(7.9)	6(15.4)	5(14.3)		
β - mediated AEs	1(1.3)	1(2.6)	1(2.9)		
Respiratory AEs	21(27.6)	16(41.0)	12(34.2)		
Asthma AEs	8(10.5)	5(12.8)	5(14.3)		

Evidence Table 1. Included studies

Chakraborti, 2006 **Quality rating :** Fair

Design:

Study design: RCT DB **Run-in:** NR **Setting:** Hospital clinic
Country: India

Sample: # Screened / Eligible / Enrolled NR / NR/ 60 # Withdrawn / Lost to follow-up / Analyzed NR/ NR/ 60

Inclusion criteria: Children between 5-15 years of age; mild to moderate acute exacerbation of asthma who were able to perform spirometry

Exclusion criteria: Severe acute exacerbation; coexisting cardiac or renal disease; known intolerance to salbutamol, or ipratropium bromide; glaucoma, urinary retention and children who had used oral bronchodilator in the last 12 hours or inhaled bronchodilator in the last 6 hours

Comments: Patients could be enrolled twice in study if events were more than one month apart

Intervention:

Duration: 30 minutes

Drug name	Dosage	N	Mean age	Gender
Salbutamol with ipratropium bromide*	100 µg /actuation of salbutamol; 20µg ipratropium	30	106 months	63% males
Salbutamol*	100 µg /actuation	30	118 months	57% males

*All patients were administered 4 actuations of salbutamol through similar looking MDI and spacer. Then 4 actuations of either ipratropium or placebo were administered

Outcomes:

Effectiveness Outcomes:

Symptoms

Comparison of salbutamol with ipratropium bromide and salbutamol after treatment

	Salbutamol with ipratropium	Salbutamol	p-value
Heart rate/min	119.43±17.09	115.3±18.70	0.38
Respiratory rate/min	27.9±4.67	28.97±5.84	0.44
Wheeze score	1.07±0.83	1.2±0.71	0.51
Accessory muscle score	0.17±0.46	0.43±0.82	0.24

Change in treatment regimen for the exacerbation: NR

Healthcare utilization: NR

Mortality: NR

Other Effectiveness Outcomes and Comments:

Adverse Events and Comments:

NR

Evidence Table 1. Included studies

Hamilos, 2007 **Quality rating:** Poor

Design:

Study design	RCT	Open	Run-in:	1-week	SB	Setting:	NR
						Country:	USA
Sample:	# Screened / Eligible / Enrolled			# Withdrawn / Lost to follow-up / Analyzed			
	NR / 932 / 746			330/ 40 /746			

Inclusion criteria:

≥ 12 years; had stable asthma for at least 6 months; an FEV₁ of 50% or higher and 80% or lower of predicted, 12% or higher of reversibility of airflow obstruction within 13 to 30 minutes after administration of 180µg of racemic albuterol MDI; used a β₂ - adrenergic agonist, antiasthma anit-inflammatory medication, or over-the-counter asthma medication for at least 6 months before screening

Exclusion criteria:

History of life-threatening asthma within 3 months of screening or if they were hospitalized for acute asthma within 45 days of screening; greater than 10-pack-year history of cigarette smoking within 6 months of screening

Comments

* The study protocols were amended to reduce the study period to 6 mos for newly-enrolled patients. 7% of patients were from prior phase 3 trials with no reason given

Intervention:

Duration: 6 months to 1 year

	Dosage	N	Mean age	Gender
Drug name				
Levalbuterol	MDI 90ug qid	496	38	35.3% male
Racemic albuterol	MDI 180ug qid	250	39	33.2% male

Outcomes:

Effectiveness Outcomes:

Symptoms: NR

Healthcare utilization: NR

Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ)
 Both groups improved to a similar extent on the adult AQLQ.
 Pediatric AQLQ was greater for levalbuterol than racemic albuterol:
 levalbuterol 0.96±0.92; racemic albuterol -0.02±1.18

	Levalbuterol	Racemic Albuterol
<i>Compliance Rate (12 months; %)</i>	95.70%	96.10%
<i>Rescue Medication Use</i>	72.60%	68.90%

Mortality: 0

Other Effectiveness Outcomes and Comments:

Adverse Events and Comments:

	<u>No. (%) of patients</u>	
Adverse events	<u>Levalbuterol</u>	<u>Racemic albuterol</u>
Body as a whole	180 (36.3)	104 (41.6)
Abdominal pain	18 (3.6)	17 (6.8)
Unintentional injury	37 (7.5)	26 (10.4)
Flu syndrome	19 (3.8)	17 (6.8)
Headache	67 (13.5)	38 (15.2)
Pain	48 (9.7)	33 (13.2)
Respiratory system	272 (54.8)	141 (56.4)
Asthma	91 (18.3)	49 (19.6)
Bronchitis	36 (7.3)	18 (7.2)
Cough increased	40 (8.1)	24 (9.6)
Pharyngitis	49 (9.9)	25 (10.0)

Rhinitis	48 (9.7)	39 (15.6)	
Sinusitis	56 (11.3)	31 (12.4)	
Viral infection	150 (30.2)	71 (28.4)	
Overall frequency of Aes (%)	72	76.8	(p = 0.12)
At least 1 adverse event	357 (72.0)	192(76.8)	
Serious adverse events¹	18 (3.6)	13 (5.2)	
Asthma adverse events			
Overall	91(18.3)	49(19.6)	
No. of single events	70(14.1)	33(13.2)	
Duration > 24 hours	83(16.7)	43(17.2)	
Asthma attack²			
Overall	81(16.3)	46(18.4)	
No. of single events	61(12.3)	34(13.6)	
Duration > 24 hours	74(14.9)	41(16.4)	
Expanded - definition asthma adverse events³			
Overall	131(26.4)	83(33.2)	
No. of single events	71(14.3)	48(19.2)	
Duration > 24 hours	123(24.8)	77(30.8)	

¹ Serious adverse events included any event that was fatal or life threatening, was permanently disabling, required hospitalization, was a congenital anomaly, or required intervention to prevent permanent damage

²Defined as an asthma adverse event that required hospitalization, emergency department visit, treatment with oral burst or parentera cortocosteroids, or an unscheduled clinic visit

³ Defined as adverse events of asthma, combined with adverse events of bronchitis, cough increase, dyspnea, or lung disorder

Evidence Table 1. Included studies

Nowak, 2006 **Quality rating:** Fair

Design:

Study design: RCT DB **Run-in:** NR **Setting:** Hospital ED/clinic
Country: USA

Sample: # Screened / Eligible / Enrolled NR / NR / 627 # Withdrawn / Lost to follow-up / Analyzed 1/0/626

Inclusion criteria: ≥ 18 years; presented to ED/clinic with acute exacerbation of asthma; an FEV₁ value of 20-55% predicted; at least a 6-month history of physician diagnosed asthma; an oxygen saturation of at least 90% with no more than 6L/min supplemental oxygen; non-pregnant; no other known (non-asthma) cause of wheezing or shortness of breath

Exclusion criteria: Respiratory distress of sufficient severity to preclude enrolment in the trial were excluded to avoid delayed treatment; patients administered therapy other than oxygen after ED/clinic arrival; history of severe asthma within previous 12 months; undergone treatment of acute asthma within 2 weeks; or hospitalization within 1 month of presentation; ≥ 10-pack year smoking history

Comments:

Intervention:

Duration:

Drug name	Dosage	N	Mean age	Gender
Levalbuterol	1.25 mg	315	37.2	62.2% female
Racemic albuterol	2.5 mg	312	37	61.2% female Note: all patients received 40 mg of prednisone

Both treatment drugs were administered every 20 minutes in the first hour, then every 40 minutes for 3 additional doses, then as necessary for up to 24 hours. All patients received 40 mg prednisone Po.

Outcomes:

Effectiveness Outcomes:

Symptoms: NR

Change in treatment regimen for the exacerbation: NR

Healthcare utilization:

	<u>Levalbuterol</u>	<u>Racemic albuterol</u>	
Time to discharge (min)	76	78.5	p= .74
Admission rate (%)	7 (95% CI 4.2-9.8)	9.3 (95%CI 6.1-12.6)	p= .28
Relapse rate (% at 30 days)	5.5	5	p= NR
Blood glucose	NSD	NSD	
Potassium	NSD	NSD	

Mortality: NR

Other Effectiveness Outcomes and Comments:

Adverse Events and Comments:

	<u>Levalbuterol(%)</u>	<u>Racemic albuterol (%)</u>
Overall	9.80	10.90
Headache	1.00	3.20
Nervousness	3.20	2.20
Tremor	2.20	2.20
Tachycardia	1.9	2.9
Asthma event	4.8	3.5

Evidence Table 1. Included studies

Ralston, 2005		Quality rating:		Fair	
Design:					
Study design	RCT	DB	Run-in:	NA	Setting: Hospital Country: USA
Sample:	# Screened / Eligible / Enrolled 833 / 306/ 154		# Withdrawn / Lost to follow-up / Analyzed 14/ 0/ 140		
Inclusion criteria:	Patients 6-18 years; history of asthma of any severity; demonstrated ability to use a peak flow meter and with a PEF of <80% on presentation to ED				
Exclusion criteria:	Known sensitivity to study meds; previous study enrollment; impending or actual respiratory arrest or treatment or treatment with Levalbuterol or Ipratropium bromide within the 6 h of study enrollment				
Comments					
Intervention:					
Duration:	1 treatment				
Drug name	Dosage	N	Mean age (years)	Gender	
Racemic albuterol and ipratropium bromide	Up to 3 nebulized treatments 1mL (5.0 mg) RAC mixed with 1.25 mL (0.25 mg) IB followed as needed by RAC dosing	76	11.5	50 % male	
Levalbuterol	Up to 6 nebulized treatments 3.0 mL (1.25mg) LEV	78	11.7	58% male	
Outcomes:					
Effectiveness Outcomes:		Racemic albuterol and Ipratropium bromide n (%)	Levabuterol n(%)		
New symptoms no. (%)					
Tremor		20(29)	17(24)		
Nervousness		13(19)	8(11)		
Nausea or vomiting		6(9)	2(3)		
Palpitations		9(13)	5(7)		
Headache		9(13)	6(8)		
Any symptoms		33(49)	29(40)		
HR final beats/min mean (SE)		126 (3.0)	114(2.7)		
HR max beats/min mean (SE)		130 (3.4)	119(3.1)		
Increase HR initial to final					
Beats/ min mean (SE)		26(2.8)	10(3.0)		
% Median (Q ₁ , Q ₃)		20(13,43)	8(-1,23)		
Increase HR initial to max					
Beats/ min mean (SE)		29 (3.1)	16(3.0)		
% Median (Q ₁ , Q ₃)		26(14, 48)	9 (2, 27)		
HR max above normal range for age # (%)		47(73)	35(51)		
Symptoms: NR					
Change in treatment regimen for the exacerbation: NR					
Healthcare utilization:					
		Racemic albuterol and Ipratropium bromide	Levabuterol	p Value	
	ED length of stay (LOS) min median (Q ₁ , Q ₃)	94(70, 133)	80 (60, 122)	0.13	
	72 hr return for asthma	0(0)	1(1)	1	
	Number of adjunctive meds in ED # (%)	9(13)	21(29)	0.022	

Oral steroids in ED # (%)	59(87)	50(70)	0.014
i.v. steroids in ED # (%)	0(0)	1(1)	1

Admission rate: admission rate : 1.4% for study population; 2 study patients admitted 1 (RAC/IB) to PICU and 1(LEV) to ED

Mortality: NR

Other Effectiveness Outcomes and Comments:

Adverse Events and Comments:

No serious AEs reported

Evidence Table 1. Included studies

Salo, 2006 **Quality rating:** Good

Design:

Study design: RCT DB **Run-in:** NR **Setting:** Hospital ED
Country: USA

Sample: # Screened / Eligible / Enrolled 375 / 166/63 # Withdrawn / Lost to follow-up / Analyzed 1/ NR/ 62

Inclusion criteria: >18 years; PEFr<70% predicted; prior history of asthma; wheezing; or wheezing for the first time and meeting ATS definition of asthma including patients who had a history of asthma diagnosed by a physician or who had episodes of wheezing that improved with β-2 agonist inhalers

Exclusion criteria: Refusal to give informed consent; use of ipratropium bromide in the past 48 hours; previous enrollment in this study; greater than 20 pack year history of smoking ; symptomatic angina pectoris; known symptomatic atherosclerotic heart disease;; patients who can perform a PEFr; pregnant women; HR >150 beats per minute; BP> 180/100 mm Hg; cystic fibrosis; tuberculosis or pulmonary malignancies; any infection controlled with antibiotics; pneumonia; active in any study at enrollment or 4 weeks prior; taking any oral steroids; known allergies to study medications; current alcohol or drug use

Comments

Intervention:

Duration:	120 minutes			
	Dosage	N	Median age	Gender
Drug name				
Albuterol and ipratropium bromide*	7.5 mg/h and 1.0 mg/h	33	33	
Albuterol*	A: 7.5 mg/h	30	38	

* Both treatments given continuously over 120 minutes

Outcomes:

Effectiveness Outcomes:

Symptoms: NR

Change in treatment regimen for the exacerbation: NR

Healthcare utilization:

Admission rates

Albuterol and ipratropium bromide	8/32 (25%)	OR: 1.66 (95% CI, 0.48 - 5.8) p = 0.621
-----------------------------------	------------	-----------------------------------------

5/30 (16.7%)

Mortality: NR

Other Effectiveness Outcomes and Comments:

Adverse Events and Comments:

Shortness of breath

Albuterol and ipratropium bromide	1 (3%)
-----------------------------------	--------

Albuterol	1 (3%)
-----------	--------

Mild congestive heart failure

Albuterol	1 (3%)
-----------	--------

Evidence Table 1. Included studies

Sharma, 2004 Quality rating: Poor

Design:

Study design: RCT NB **Run-in:** NR **Setting:** Hospital ED
Country: India

Sample: # Screened / Eligible / Enrolled NR/ NR/ 50 # Withdrawn / Lost to follow-up / Analyzed NR/ NR /50

Inclusion criteria: 6-14 years; reported to ED with acute exacerbation of bronchial asthma

Exclusion criteria: Life threatening or severe attack characterized by cyanosis, silent chest or poor air entry, maked by dyspnoea so that a child was unable to speak 3-4 words; PEFR <30% for height; received bronchodilator 6 hours prior to admission; history of previous admission to ICU

Comments: Listed refernce did not provide specific wheeze or dypnea score

Intervention:

Duration: 240 minutes

Drug name	Dosage	N	Mean age	Gender
Salbutamol (via nebulizer)	150ug/kg/dose every 20 minutes for 3 doses; maximum 5.0mg dose	25	10.3	NR
Combined salbutamol and ipratropium bromide (via nebulizer)	250 µgm /dose for 3 doses every 20 minutes	25	10.6	NR

Outcomes:Effectiveness Outcomes:

Symptoms		Wheeze Score*	p-value	Dyspnoea Score*	p-value
Salbutamol (via nebulizer)		0.52±0.1	<0.05	0.60±0.24	<0.05
Combined salbutamol and ipratropium bromide (via nebulizer)		0.2±0.08	<0.05	0.20±0.08	<0.05

* 240 minutes

Change in treatment regimen for the exacerbation: NR

Healthcare utilization: Hospitalization rate: salbutamol 4/25 (16%) ; salbutamol and ipratropium bromide 1/25 (4%)

Mortality: NR

Other Effectiveness Outcomes and Comments:Adverse Events and Comments:

	No. of patients (%)	
	Salbutamol	Salbutamol and Ipratropium Bromide
Tremors	8(32%)	4(16%)
Vomiting	3(12%)	1(4%)
Cough	0	6(24%)
Transient eye irritation	0	2(8%)

Evidence Table 1. Included studies

van der Merwe L, 2006

Quality rating:

Design:

Study design: Case-control **Run-in:** N/A **Setting:** Hospital and respiratory clinic
Country: South Africa

Sample: Severe life threatening asthma (SLTA): 30
 Control: 60

Inclusion criteria: 13-45 years
 SLTA: meet admission criteria for SLTA

Exclusion criteria: < 13 years; > 45 years
 Control: history of an asthma related admission to an ICU

Comments: The SLTA group were drawn from patients admitted to the emergency room while the control group was drawn from an outpatient respiratory clinic

Population: **Mean age (SE):** SLTA 31 (1.7); Control 30.8(1.1)
Gender (% female): SLTA 83.3; Control 60

Intervention:

Duration:

Drug name	Dosage	N	Mean age	Gender
Various drugs (includes fenoterol 200 ug MDI)	NR			

Outcomes:

Adverse Events and Comments:

Mortality:
 SLTA: 13% (4/30)
 Control: NR

Treatment with asthma medications in study patients

β agonists (% - Inhaled fenoterol)*
 Cases: 68 (17/25)
 Control: 28.8 (17/59)
 OR 6(95% CI 2.2 TO 16.2)
 p = 0.0004

* Subjects not on fenterol were on salbutamol except for one patient in the SLTA group who was suing inhaled anticholinergic medication

Evidence Table 1. Included studies

Watanasomsiri, 2006		Quality rating:		Fair	
Design:					
Study design:	RCT	DB	Run-in:	NR	Setting: Hospital Country: Thailand
Sample:	# Screened / Eligible / Enrolled NR / NR/ 74		# Withdrawn / Lost to follow-up / Analyzed 3/ 0 / 71		
Inclusion criteria:	A clinical diagnosis of asthma. Patients < 5 years had to have \geq 3 episodes of wheezing before the presenting illness and a history of physician diagnosed wheezing.				
Exclusion criteria:	Patients excluded if they presented with a first-time wheezing episode and if they had 1 or more of the following conditions: coexistent cardiac, renal, or other chronic pulmonary diseases; bronchopulmonary dysplasia; intolerance to salbutamol or ipratropium bromide; glaucoma; or urinary retention. Patients who had used ipratropium bromide within 24 hours, used oral corticosteroids within 3 days, and required immediate resuscitation or airway intervention were also excluded from the study				
Comments					
Population:					
Intervention:					
Duration:	Every 20 minutes for 120 minutes and additional doses of salbutamol every 30 minutes PRN				
Drug name:	Dosage	N	Mean age	Gender	
Salbutamol mixed with 250 μ of ipratropium bromide (Treatment)	NR	38	7.4 years	NR	
Salbutamol mixed with isotonic NaCL solution (Control)	NR	33	6.6 years	NR	
Comments:	The dose of salbutamol was 1.2 mg for body weight < 10 kg and 2.5 mg for body weight > 10 kg. All patients received 0.5 mg/kg of an oral steroid with the second dose of nebulized solution				
Outcomes:					
Effectiveness Outcomes:					
Symptoms: Authors reported no statistically significant differences in percent change in clinical scores (Accessory muscle score; Wheeze score; Dyspnea score) were found. Subgroup analysis by age and severity showed no statistically significant differences between the 2 groups at any time point. No baseline or follow-up data reported for clinical scores.					
Change in treatment regimen for the exacerbation: NR					
Healthcare utilization (%): Treatment 5 (2/38); Control 9 (3/33) were hospitalized					
Mortality: NR					
Other Effectiveness Outcomes and Comments:					
Adverse Events and Comments:					
Headache (%)					
Treatment: 3 (1/38)					
Control: 0					
Nausea (%)					
Treatment: 3 (1/38)					
Control: 3(1/33)					

Evidence Table 1. Included studies

Wright, 2004 **Quality rating:** Fair-poor

Design:

Study design RCT NR Parallel **Run-in:** 2 weeks **Setting:**
Country: New Zealand

Sample: # Screened / Eligible / Enrolled # Withdrawn / Lost to follow-up / Analyzed
47 / 40/ 40 9/ NR / 31

Inclusion criteria: 18-70 years, taking a minimum of 200 µ/day of inhaled beclomethasone or equivalent; methacoline PD < 8 µmol; and non-smokers or ex-smokers (< 5 pack-years).

Exclusion criteria: History of life-threatening asthma; a requirement for oral prednisone within the previous 3 months; inability to withdraw short or long-acting beta agonists; and any other significant medical conditions.

Comments The 2-week run-in period withdrew all beta-agonist treatment from patients and substituted ipratropium bromide as the sole reliever medication.

Intervention:

Duration: Phase 1: 2 weeks; Phase 2: continued until a deterioration in asthma control (LOC) occurred after inhaled corticosteroid therapy (ICS) withdrawal.

Drug name	Dosage	N	Mean age	Gender
Salbutamol/ Ipratropium	100 µg/20 µg, 4 puffs tid	18	41.2	39 % male 61 % female
Ipratropium	20 µg, 4 puffs tid	18	39.8	56% male 44% female

Outcomes:Effectiveness Outcomes:

Symptoms: Mean time to loss of asthma control (days): Salbutamol/Ipratropium 8.9 (14.5 to 13.3); Ipratropium 16.8 (12.2 to 21.4) p = .03

Change in treatment regimen for the exacerbation:

Healthcare utilization: NR

Mortality: NR

Other Effectiveness Outcomes and Comments:Adverse Events and Comments:

Unstable asthma	1 (2.5%)
Required β-agonist	1(2.5%)
Inadequate rise in eNO	5(12.5%)

Evidence Table 2. Quality assessment of controlled trials for quick relief medications for asthma

<i>Internal validity</i>									
Author Date Country	Was the assignment to the treatment groups really random?	Was the treatment allocation concealed?	Were the groups similar at baseline in terms of prognostic factors?	Were the eligibility criteria specified?	Were outcome assessors blinded to the treatment allocation	Was the care provider blinded?	Was the patient kept unaware of the treatment received	Did the article include an ITT analysis, or provide the data needed to calculate it?	Did the study maintain comparable groups?
Berger, W 2006 USA	Unclear, methods NR	Unclear, methods NR	Yes	Yes	Unclear; reported as DB	Unclear; reported as DB	Yes	Yes (5/150 patients excluded)	Yes
Chakraborti, A 2006 India	Yes	Yes (3rd party administration of MDIs)	Yes (salbutamol group 12m older, p=0.04)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear; attrition NR	Unclear
Hamilos, D 2007 USA	Unclear, methods NR	Unclear, methods NR	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Unclear	Unclear
Nowak, R 2006 USA	Unclear, methods NR	Unclear, methods NR	Yes	Yes	Unclear; reported as DB	Unclear; reported as DB	Unclear	Yes (Table 2 accounts for 626/627 subjects)	Yes
Ralston, M 2005 USA	Yes (random number table)	Yes (central randomization)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No (completers only analyzed, 90.9% of total)	Yes
Salo, D 2006 USA	Yes (random number table)	Yes (central randomization)	Yes	Yes	Unclear; reported as DB	Yes (treatments were identical)	Yes (treatments were identical)	Yes; 62/63 randomized were analyzed	Yes
Sharma, A 2004 India	Unclear, methods NR	Unclear, methods NR	Yes	Yes	No, open label	No, open label	No, open label	Unclear; appears that all subjects were analyzed; no correction of multiple comparisons	Yes
Watanasomsiri, A 2006 Thailand	Unclear, methods NR	Yes (central randomization and dispensing by 3rd party)	No, are statistical differences in SaO2 and time of onset of attack between groups; SaO2 differed by 1.3%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No, 71/74 were analyzed	Yes
Wraight 2004 New Zealand	Unclear, methods NR	Unclear, methods NR	Yes, groups were statistically the same but FEV1 was greater in the IB group; post hoc analysis with matching on FEV1 was therefore performed.	Yes	Unclear; no mention blinding	Unclear; no mention blinding	Unclear; no mention blinding	No; appears that only completers were analyzed (31/40)	Unclear; FEV1 differed at baseline (P>0.05)

Evidence Table 2. Quality assessment of controlled trials for quick relief medications for asthma

Author Date Country	Did the article report attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination?	Was there important differential loss to follow-up or overall high loss to follow-up?(give numbers in each group)	Quality	External validity		What were the exclusion criteria for recruitment? (Give numbers excluded at each step)	What was the funding source and role of funder in the study?	Did the control group receive the standard of care?	What was the length of follow-up? (Give numbers at each stage of attrition)
				How similar is the population to whom the intervention would be applied?	How many patients were recruited?				
Berger, W 2006 USA	Yes No No	No	Fair	Unclear; 150/173 patients randomized	Unclear; NR for run-in period; 173 started run-in	NR	Sepracor Inc; role NR; 2 coauthors are from Sepracor	No, qid regular dosing of albuterol is not usual care	28 days
Chakraborti, A 2006 India	No No No	Unclear	Fair	Unclear; recruitment NR	NR	Severe asthma; comorbid conditions	NR	Yes (albuterol)	Outcomes measured "after treatment" but time interval NR 52 weeks
Hamilos, D 2007 USA	Yes No Yes No	High loss to F/U (44% (similar rates between groups); authors amended protocol from 12 to 6-m F/U and defined completion with respect to 6 months; no rationale for change given	Poor	Unclear 746/932 enrolled	932/ accessible population NR	Recent, severe asthma attack	Sepracore Inc.; role NR; 4 coauthors from Sepracor		
Nowak, R 2006 USA	No No No	Unclear; appear to have only lost 1 patient (table 2) but did use LOCF for FEV1 data	Fair	Unclear; total accessible population NR	Unclear; 627 entered study	Severe respiratory distress	Sepracor Inc; role NR	Yes	24 hours
Ralston, M 2005 USA	Yes No No	No	Fair	Unclear; only 154/833 eligible patients were recruited	154	impending respiratory arrest, treatment with levalbuterol or IB in last 6h	NR: site of study was Naval Medical Center, Portsmouth, Virginia	Yes	Length of ER visit
Salo, D 2006 USA	Yes Yes No No	No	Good	Unclear; 66/375 were enrolled	66	92/375 potential patients were 'missed' for inclusion; exclusion criteria: use of IB in last 48h and others	Funder NR; B&B Technologies supplied the Hope Nebulizers for the study	Yes (continuous albuterol)	Length of ER visit
Sharma, A 2004 India	Unclear No No No	Unclear	Poor	Unclear	MR	Exclusion criteria NR	NR	Yes (albuterol)	240 minute (ER visit)
Watanasomsiri, A 2006 Thailand	Yes No No No	No	Fair	Unclear; recruitment NR	NR	First-time wheezers, other comorbidities, etc	NR	Yes (albuterol)	Length of ER visit
Wraight 2004 New Zealand	Yes No Yes No	No; 5 patients withdrawn as failed to demonstrate a significant increase in airway inflammation after withdrawal of steroids	Fair-poor	Unclear (recruitment NR)	47 were screened	Severe asthma, recent oral steroids		No, both groups received regular SABA and steroids were withdrawn from both groups	Phase 1 was 2 weeks; phase 2 until loss of control; longest time to loss of control NR