

Variable effects of AAC input/output on conversations in dementia

**M. Fried-Oken, C. Rowland, G. Baker, M. Dixon, C. Mills,
B. Oken, D. Schultz, J. Small, M. Midgett, C. McFarland**
Oregon Health & Science University Portland, Oregon

REKNEW-AD

- Reclaiming
- Expressive
- Knowledge
- in Elders
- With
- Alzheimer's disease



Premise for REKNEW-AD research

- Pairing external aids with familiar and spared skills (such as page turning, reading aloud, autobiographical memories) maximizes a person's opportunity for success.
- These skills are based on intact procedural memory.
- Symbolic representations may serve as semantic primes to stimulate lexical retrieval during conversation in moderate Alzheimer's disease (modAD).
- Knowledge of input/output modes most appropriate for adults with modAD is useful in designing AAC supports.

Study 1 Question: Do AAC supports improve conversation by individuals with moderate Alzheimer's disease?

DESIGN: # subjects per AAC-supported conditions

| Output Mode | Input Mode | | |
|-----------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Print only | 2-D +Print symbols | 3-D + Print symbols |
| Voice output | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| No voice output | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Total | 10 | 11 | 9 |

- Conditions are varied between subjects.
- Each subject participates in 4 conversations without AAC device and 4 with AAC device that was randomly assigned input and output modes.
- 1 control (without AAC) and 1 experimental (with AAC) conversation conducted at each visit.

Study 1 subjects with moderate Alzheimer's disease (N=30)

Diagnosis of probable or possible AD by a board certified neurologist; Vision and hearing within functional limits; English as primary language; Exclude those with prior neurological diagnoses or communication disorders.

| | | |
|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| Gender | 23 Females | 7 Males |
| Age | Mean = 74 yr. | Range = 50-94 |
| MMSE (0-30) | Mean = 12 | Range = 5-18 |
| CDR (0-2) | Mean = 1.73 | Range = 1-2 |
| FLCI (0-88) | Mean = 61 | Range = 27-85 |



Study 1 Method



1. Randomly assign subject to input/output condition;
2. Determine subject's preferred topic and vocabulary;
3. Develop communication device;
4. Conduct 8 10-minute videotaped conversations in homes with and without the AAC device.

Study 1 results

1. Voice output distracts subjects with modAD and depresses performance. (*Fewer total # utterances and more 1-word utterances are produced with voice output*)
2. AAC supports placed in front of persons with modAD does not affect conversation. (*No specific input condition was beneficial; attention to board or physical reference to board was minimal or nonexistent for many subjects*)

Study 2 Question: Do AAC supports *combined with spaced retrieval priming exercises* improve conversation by individuals with moderate Alzheimer's disease?

DESIGN: # subjects per AAC-supported condition

| Input Mode | | |
|------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Print only | 2-D +Print symbols | 3-D + Print symbols |
| 4 | 7 | 4 |

- Conditions are varied between subjects.
- Each subject participates in 3 conversations with AAC device (all preceded by spaced retrieval exercise) and 6 without AAC device (half preceded by spaced retrieval exercise).
- 1 conversation conducted at each visit.
- Each conversation includes the identical set of probes and sabotages that address a subject's autobiographical topics.

Study 2 subjects with moderate Alzheimer's disease (N=15)

Diagnosis of probable or possible AD by a board certified neurologist; Vision and hearing within functional limits; English as primary language; Exclude those with prior neurological diagnoses or communication disorders.

| | | |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Gender | 12 Females | 3 Males |
| Age | Mean = 77 yr. | Range = 60-92 |
| MMSE (0-30) | Mean = 16 | Range = 10-18 |
| CDR (0-2) | Mean = 1.47 | Range = 1-2 |
| FLCI (0-88) | Mean = 71 | Range = 61-84 |



Study 2 Method



1. Randomly assign subject to input condition;
2. Determine subject's preferred topic and vocabulary;
3. Develop communication device;
4. Develop standard set of 10 questions and sabotages for conversation protocol;
5. Conduct spaced retrieval priming exercise before each AAC-supported and half of unsupported (control) conversations.
6. Conduct 10-minute videotaped conversations in homes with and without the AAC device, using standard 10-question/sabotage protocol.

Study 2 results

1. Subjects used the AAC device more when conversations were primed. (*References to AAC device during conversations quadrupled, as compared to Study 1*)
2. AAC combined with spaced retrieval exercise improved access to topical vocabulary. (*In AAC-supported conversations, subjects used significantly more targeted words represented on the AAC device, as compared to control conditions.*)
3. 2 and 3 dimensional symbols + print facilitate use of AAC device. (*Subjects made significantly more references to the AAC device when the board contained 2 dimensional+print or 3 dimensional+print symbols, as compared to print alone.*)

***Clinical message:
AAC WITHOUT TRAINING IS NO AAC
AT ALL!***



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



“Well, I could use this board to talk from breakfast to hell and back!”

- Layton Center for Aging and Alzheimer’s Disease Research, Portland, Oregon, USA
- NIH/NICHD/NCMRR award #1 R21 HD47754-01A1
- DOE/NIDRR award #H133G040176