PMRT

David Elliott, MD
OHSU Radiation Medicine
PGY-2
10/24/12

Staging—Primary Tumor (T)

T1: Tumor 2cm or less in greatest dimension

T1mi: Tumor < 0.1cm in greatest dimension

T1a: Tumor more than 0.1cm but less than 0.5cm

T1b: Tumor more than 0.5cm but not more than 1cm

T1c: Tumor more than 1 cm but not more than 2cm

T2: Tumor more than 2-5cm in greatest dimension

T3: Tumor >5cm in greatest dimension

T4: any size w/ direct extension to (a) chest wall or (b) skin

T4a: Extension to chest wall, not including pectoralis muscle

T4b: Edema (including peau d'orange) or ulceration of the skin of the

breast, or satellite skin nodules not confined to the same breast

T4c: Both T4a and T4b

T4d: Inflammatory carcinoma


Regional Lymph Nodes (N)

- N1 Mets to movable ipsilateral LNs
- N2
 - N2a: mets to ipsilateral axillary LNs fixed to one another (matted) or to other structures
 - N2b: Mets only in *clinically apparent* ipsilateral internal mammary nodes in the **absence** of clinically evident axillary LN mets

N3

- N3a: Mets in ipsilateral infraclavicular LNs
- N3b: Mets in ipsilateral internal mammary LNs and axillary LNs
- N3c: Mets in ipsilateral supraclavicular LNs

Lymph Vessels & Nodes of Mammary Glands



Stage Grouping

Stage IA: T1N0M0

Stage IB: T0-1N1 (micromets)

Stage IIA: T0-1N1M0

T2N0M0

Stage IIB: T2N1M0

T3N0M0


Stage IIIA: **T0-3N2, T3N1M0**

Stage IIIB: T4N0-2

Stage IIIC: TxN3M0

Stage IV: TxNxM1

		1 2	1 3	14
NO	*	IIA	IIB	IIIB
N1	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB
N2	IIIA	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB
N3	IIIC	IIIC	IIIC	IIIC
М1	IV	IV	IV	IV



Ten-year overall survival rates in patients with breast cancer: stage IIA, 80%; IIB, 70%; IIIA, 60%; IIIB, 50%.

Mastectomy

- Simple mastectomy (or "total mastectomy"):
 - entire breast tissue is removed, but axillary contents are undisturbed with sentinel node bx
- Modified radical mastectomy:
 - The entire breast tissue + ALND
- Radical mastectomy (or "Halsted mastectomy")
 - Removing the entire breast, the axillary lymph nodes, and the pectoralis major and minor muscles behind the breast. Reserved for muscle invasion

Danish trial 82b (1982-89)

Randomized. 1708 pts.

Premenopausal high-risk pts, who had one or more of: positive axillary LN, tumor > 5 cm, invasion of skin or pectoral fascia.

- Randomized after surgery to:
 RT + CMF chemotherapy, CMF
 alone, or CMF + tamoxifen.
 (enrollment in the third group
 was stopped after 1986 due
 to higher mortality).
- Mastectomy + axillary node dissection (level I and part of level II). Median # of LN removed was 7. RT was to chest wall, SCLV fossa, infraclavicular LN, and IMN in first 4 intercostal spaces. 50 Gy in 25 fx (or 48 Gy in 22 fx, 4days/wk). Recommended use of anterior electron field to treat CW and IMN.
- Chemo: 8 cycles of CMF with RT, or 9 cycles if given alone. RT was sandwiched between first 2 cycles of chemo.

Danish trial 82b (1982-89)

- Median f/u: 114 months. LRR 9% (RT+CMF) vs 32% (CMF). 10-yr DFS 48% vs 34%. 10-yr OS 54% vs 45%.
- RT improved DFS and OS irrespective of tumor size, number of positive LN, or grade, in these high risk pts.
 More than 50% of local recurrence were on the chest wall.
- Conclusion: improved survival with post-op RT
- number of positive LN is difficult to determine from this study because few nodes were removed (median: 7) and many pts had fewer than 4 nodes sampled.

Danish trial 82b (1982-89)




Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier Estimates of Disease-free Survival among Women Treated with Radiotherapy plus CMF and CMF Alone.

Values in parentheses are disease-free survival at 10 years.





Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier Estimates of Overall Survival among Women Treated with Radiotherapy plus CMF and CMF Alone. Values in parentheses are overall survival at 10 years.

Overgaard M et al. N Engl J Med. 1997 Oct 2;337(14):949-55.

Danish trial 82c (1982-90)

- 1375 pts. Stage II or III
- Postmenopausal high-risk pts. Randomized to post-mastectomy: tamoxifen (for 1 yr), or to tam + RT.
- Median f/u 123 months. LRR 8% (RT) vs 35% (No RT). DFS 36% vs 24%. 10-yr OS 45% vs 36%.
- The endpoints were first site of recurrence (locoregional recurrence, distant metastases, or both), and disease-free and overall survival.
- Conclusion: Improved survival with post-op RT

Danish trial 82c (1982-90)








Figure 2: Effect of radiotherapy on disease-free survival and overall survival

Overgaard M et al. Lancet. 1999 May 15;353(9165):1641-8.

Long-Term Results: 82b and c

- Combined 82b and c: 18 year follow up
- probability of any first breast cancer event was 73%(no RT) and 59%(RT) (P < .001) (relative risk [RR], 0.68; 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.75).
- LRR 49% vs 14%(RT). LRR for 1-3 +LN: 27% vs. 4% (RT) 4+ LN: 51% vs. 10%(RT) All SS.
- DM 64% vs 53%(RT). (P < .001) 73% chance of DM after developing LRR; does not depend on initial treatment.





 Conclusion: PMRT changes the disease recurrence pattern in high-risk breast cancer patients; fewer patients have LRR as first site of recurrence, and overall fewer patients have DM.

Long-Term Results: 82b and c

Overall Survival

- **1-3 LN**: 57%(RT) vs 48%; **4+ LN** 27%(RT) vs 12%. All SS.
- No OS benefit for patients that were ER/PR- or HER2+.

- CMF 6-12 mo +/- RT
- 318pts With LN+, premenopausal high risk
 +ALN s/p mastectomy.
- Modified radical mastectomy with median 11 LN removed.
- 20 yr fu


- Radiation:
- 5 field, 37.5Gy/16f Co-60. CW with tangents, supraclavicular/axillary + PAB in 35 Gy/16, bilateral IM 37.5/16 with en face photon field. RT given between cycle 4 and 5.


Treatment of the internal mammary nodes

- Classically done with an en face electron or photon field.
- This field arrangement gave dose not only to the left ventricle but also the coronary arteries.
- Field often treated the supraclavicular fossa in continuity: the "hockey stick".



- LRR: 26% vs 10%(RT)
- OS: 37% vs 47%(RT) SS
- Similar results for 1-3 LN and >4 LN
- Arm edema in 3.2% vs 9.1%(RT).






	Chemotherapy-alone arm		Chemotherapy and radiation therapy arm			
Outcome	Survival, %‡	No. of events/ No. of patients	Survival, %‡	No. of events/ No. of patients	RR (95% CI)	P†
		All 318 p	atients			
Event-free survival	25	116/154	35	105/164	0.70 (0.54 to 0.92)	.009
Breast cancer-free survival	30	107/154	48	84/164	0.63 (0.47 to 0.83)	.001
Survival free of isolated locoregional disease	74	27/154	90	12/164	0.36 (0.18 to 0.71)	.002
Systemic breast cancer-free survival	31	104/154	48	84/164	0.66 (0.49 to 0.88)	.004
Breast cancer-specific survival	38	95/154	53	75/164	0.67 (0.49 to 0.90)	.008
Overall survival	37	101/154	47	89/164	0.73 (0.55 to 0.98)	.03

Is the benefit of postmastectomy irradiation limited to patients with four or more positive nodes, as recommended by international consensus reports?

A subgroup analysis of the DBCG 82 b & c randomized trials.

- Analysis of pts with 8 or more nodes
- 1152 patients



DBCG 82 b & c randomized trials

Subanalysis of pts with > 8 LN's

Parameter	1–3 pos. nodes	4+ pos. nodes
Endpoint: loco-regional recurrence		
Relative risk reduction	87%	82%
Absolute risk reduction	20%	2.40
Number of patients needed to treat to avoid an LRR	5	4
Endpoint: death		
Relative risk reduction	17%	11%
Absolute risk reduction	OΨ	10%
Number of patients needed to treat to avoid a death	11	10

death.

DBCG 82 b & c randomized trials

Subanalysis of pts with > 8 LN's

Conclusion:

- The survival benefit after postmastectomy RT was substantial and similar in patients with 1–3 and 4+ positive lymph nodes.
- The indication for RT seems therefore to be at least equally beneficial in patients with 1–3 positive nodes

MDACC – Retrospective review 1977-2000

- 542 patients treated on six consecutive institutional prospective trials with neoadjuvant chemotherapy, mastectomy, and PMRT
- compared to 134 patients who received similar treatment in these same trials but without radiation
- Median follow up 10 yr
- RT CW+LN's 50 Gy + 10 Gy CW boost.

Table 1. Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Regimens						
				No. of Patients		
Protocol	Years of the Study	Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Regimen	No. of Cycles	CT + M	CT + M + RT	Total Study Population*
Advanced Primary	1974-1985	FAC	3	33	91	191
85-01	1985-1989	VACP	3	19	141	200
89-007	1989-1991	FAC	4	11	104	203
91-015	1991-1994	FAC or dose-escalated FAC	4	11	101	202
94-002	1994-1998	FAC or paclitaxel	4	60	41	174
97-099	1998-2000	AT	6	0	64	88
Total	1974-2000			134	542	1,058

Abbreviations: FAC, 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide; VACP, vincristine, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, and prednisone; AT, doxorubicin, docetaxel; CT, chemotherapy; M, mastectomy; RT, radiation.

[&]quot;The total study population includes other patients who were not analyzed in this report, such as those receiving breast-conserving surgery with or without radiation.

Outcomes:

- LRR 11%(RT) vs. 22% (SS)
- Clinical stage LRR:
 - I-IIA no benefit for PMRT.
 - Stage IIB+ 11%(RT) vs. 26%(SS)
 - cT3-T4 8%(RT) vs. 22% (SS)
 - cN2-3 12%(RT) vs. 40%.
- Pathologic stage LRR:
 - ypT2+ 14%(RT) vs. 59% (SS)
 - Stage II with 1-3 LN+ no benefit for PMRT (but few pts)
 - pN0 4%(RT) vs. 11% (SS)
 - $\ge 4 LN + 16\%(RT) vs. 59\% (SS)$

Survival benefit:

- CSS
 - cStage IIIB+ 22%(RT) vs. 44% (SS)
 - cT4 24%(RT) vs. 45% (SS)
 - cN2-3 27%(RT) vs. 49% (SS)
 - > 4 LN + 18%(RT) vs. 44%
 - *No inflammatory breast ca included

Predictors for LRR:


no PMRT, >20% LN+, no tamoxifen, cStage
 IIIB+, no response to neoadjuvant chemo

Conclusion:

 PMRT should be <u>considered</u> for clinical T3, clinical Stage III-IV and patients with <u>></u> 4 LN+ at surgery, regardless of response to chemotherapy

T3N0

Ohh no! What do you do??



LOW LOCAL RECURRENCE RATE WITHOUT POSTMASTECTOMY RADIATION IN NODE-NEGATIVE BREAST CANCER PATIENTS WITH TUMORS 5 cm AND LARGER


Scott R. Floyd, M.D., Ph.D.,* Thomas A. Buchholz, M.D.,† Bruce G. Haffty, M.D.,‡
Saveli Goldberg, Ph.D.,* Andrzej Niemierko, Ph.D.,* Rita Abi Raad, M.D.,*
Mary J. Oswald, B.S.,† Timothy Sullivan, B.S.,* Eric A. Strom, M.D.,†
Simon N. Powell, M.D., Ph.D.,* Angela Katz, M.D.,* and Alphonse G. Taghian, M.D., Ph.D.*


*Department of Radiation Oncology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; †Department of Radiation Oncology, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; *Department of Therapeutic Radiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT; *Harvard Radiation Oncology Program, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

- MGH/MDACC/Yale Between 1981 and 2002
- Review of multi-institutional database (Floyd, IJROBP 2006)
- 70 pts
- T3N0
- s/p mastectomy+chemo
 - no PMRT. No neoadj. Median FU 7y.

T3N0

- 5y LRF 7.6%, mostly in CW (4/5)
- LVSI significant prognostic factor
- DFS 83%, OS 86%





T3N0

 Conclusion: No need for PMRT in T3NO, consider if LVSI present

Review of NSABP postmastectomy chemo trials

B-13, B-14, B-19, B-20, and B-23 node-negative trials

- 313 pts, <u>T3N0</u> treated with MRM +/- chemo, no PMRT, on NSABP trials
 - Therapy included adjuvant chemotherapy in 34.2% of pts; tamoxifen in 21.1%; chemotherapy plus tamoxifen in 19.2%; and no systemic therapy in 25.5%

Review of NSABP postmastectomy chemo trials

B-13, B-14, B-19, B-20, and B-23 node-negative trials

- Median Fu 15.1y.
- 10y isolated LRF 7.1% and LRF +/- DM 10%, mostly at the CW.
- No significant prognostic factors

Conclusion: Routine use of PMRT in this group is not warranted

Who needs PMRT?

- All women with > 3 positive nodes.
- All women with any positive node and a tumor larger than 5 cm.
- ?? Women with positive margins: With other risk factors like size, young age, LVSI or high grade.
- ? Women with T3N0: *Probably not, especially in older women*.
- ? Women with 1-3 positive nodes and T1/T2: Generally yes and especially in women < 45.
- ?After neoadjuvant chemo: If Stage III/IV at diagnosis, if node positive after chemo, if node positive prior to chemo with other high risk features.

Why not PMRT?

- Greater risk for lymphedema of breast and arm
- Increased amount of lung that is fibrosed by radiation, primarily from treatment of either the supraclavicular nodes or internal mammary nodes. EBCTCG also showed increase risk of lung cancer, RR=1.78 but no increase in respiratory disease.
- May expose contralateral breast to radiation.
- Decrease in the quality of the cosmetic outcome following reconstruction, especially with implants.