



FIG. 2 Phylogenetic tree showing the evolutionary relationship of SIV_{MND} and known primate lentiviruses. The tree was constructed by the neighbour-joining method³⁵ after alignment of the nucleotide sequences of the reverse transcriptase and the integration protein in the *pol* gene. The viral sequences used in this study were as follows: GB-1 isolate of SIV_{MND} in this study; TYO-1 isolate of SIV_{AGM}¹², Delta/F236 isolate of SIV_{SM}⁴; 251³⁶ and 142² isolates of SIV_{MAC}; GH-1²⁷, ROD¹⁴ and NIH-Z²⁶ isolates of HIV-2; MAL³⁷, ELI³⁷, RF³⁸, SF2³⁹, MN⁴⁰, BH10⁴¹ and BRU¹³ isolates of HIV-1. The sequences of ovine visna lentivirus (VISNA)⁴² and equine infectious anaemia virus (EIAV)⁴³ were used as reference species of lentiviruses in the calculation to make the position of the root in the tree clear. Horizontal distances from each branch are proportional to the number of amino-acid substitutions. The scale bar indicates 0.1 substitutions per site.

isolate can be considered as a representative strain of SIV_{AGM}, which is highly endemic in African green monkeys in the wild^{12,28,33}. Our sequence analysis of SIV_{MND} and SIV_{AGM} suggests that several species of African non-human primates have harboured SIV for a long time and that these SIVs are specific to their natural hosts. □

Immunization with a synthetic T-cell receptor V-region peptide protects against experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis

Arthur A. Vandenbark*†‡ George Hashim§
& Halina Offner*‡

* Neuroimmunology Research Laboratory 151D, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Portland, Oregon 97201, USA

† Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, Oregon 97201, USA

‡ Department of Neurology, Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, Oregon 97201, USA

§ Departments of Surgery and Microbiology, St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital and Columbia University, New York, New York 10025, USA

T CELLS expressing the $\alpha\beta$ T-cell receptor (TCR) for antigen can elicit anti-idiotypic antibodies specific for the TCR that regulate T-cell function¹⁻⁴. Defined sequences of the TCR, however, have not been used to elicit specific antibodies and the role of cellular immunity directed against TCR determinants has not been studied. We immunized Lewis rats with a synthetic peptide representing a hypervariable region of the TCR V β 8 molecule. Subsequent induction of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, a paralytic disease of the central nervous system mediated primarily by V β 8⁺ T cells specific for myelin basic protein^{5,6} was prevented. T cells specific for the TCR V β 8 peptide conferred passive protection against the disease to naive rats, apparently by shifting the predominant T-cell response away from the major encephalitogenic epitope of basic protein. This is the first report demonstrating the use of a synthetic TCR V-region peptide to induce specific regulatory immunity and has important implications for the regulation of human disease characterized by common TCR V-gene usage.

In a previous study⁶, we reported the complete nucleotide and deduced amino-acid sequence for the rearranged rat TCR α - and β -chain genes (with sequence homology to the mouse V α 2 and V β 8 families respectively) used in response to the major encephalitogenic epitope of basic protein, namely residues 72-89. Within the TCR V β 8 region, we identified and synthesized a 21-amino acid sequence that included the second complementarity determining region (CDR2) and was predicted to be immunogenic for T cells^{7,8}. A control peptide was synthesized from the corresponding region of a different TCR V β sequence that was homologous to the mouse V β 14 family⁹.

Immunization of Lewis rats with the TCR V β 8 peptide but not with the TCR V β 14 peptide or saline prevented completely the induction of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) (Table 1). The V β 8-peptide-immunized rats developed both specific antibodies [0.63 optical density (OD) units to the V β 8 peptide compared with 0.02 OD units to the control peptide at a 1:200 dilution of serum analysed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)] and delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) responses 17/100 mm = 0.17 mm ear swelling to 50 μ g TCR V β 8 peptide compared with 0/100 mm = 0.00 mm swelling to the control peptide. The V β 14 peptide also induced specific immunity in rats injected with the V β 14 peptide even though it did not confer protection against EAE. Lymph node cells isolated from the protected rats responded to the TCR V β 8 peptide as well as to guinea-pig basic protein (GPBP) and PPD (purified protein derivative of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*) (Table 2).

T-cell lines were selected from the lymph nodes of the protected rats that responded specifically to the V β 8 but not to the V β 14 peptide (Table 2). The TCR V β 8 peptide-specific T cells

Received 16 May; accepted 21 August 1989.

- Daniel, M. D. *et al. Science* **228**, 1201-1204 (1985).
- Chakrabarti, L. *et al. Nature* **328**, 543-547 (1987).
- Murphy-Corb, M. *et al. Nature* **321**, 435-437 (1986).
- Hirsch, V. M., Olmsted, R. A., Murphy-Corb, M., Purcell, R. H. & Johnson, P. R. *Nature* **339**, 389-392 (1989).
- Smith, T. F., Srinivasan, A., Schochetman, G., Marcus, M. & Myers, G. *Nature* **333**, 573-575 (1988).
- Sharp, P. M. & Li, W.-H. *Nature* **336**, 315 (1988).
- Doolittle, R. F. *Nature* **339**, 338-339 (1989).
- Mulder, C. *Nature* **333**, 346 (1988).
- Kanki, P. J. *et al. Lancet* **i**, 1330-1332 (1985).
- Tsujimoto, H. *et al. J. Virol.* **62**, 4044-4050 (1988).
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. & Coulson, A. R. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **74**, 5463-5467 (1977).
- Fukasawa, M. *et al. Nature* **333**, 457-461 (1988).
- Wain-Hobson, S., Sonigo, P., Danos, S., Cole, S. & Alizon, M. *Cell* **40**, 9-17 (1985).
- Guyader, M. *et al. Nature* **326**, 662-669 (1987).
- Nabel, G. & Baltimore, D. *Nature* **326**, 711-713 (1987).
- Jones, K. A., Kadonaga, J. T., Luciw, P. A. & Tjian, R. *Science* **232**, 755-759 (1986).
- Feng, S. & Holland, E. C. *Nature* **334**, 165-167 (1988).
- Pearl, L. H. & Taylor, W. R. *Nature* **329**, 351-354 (1987).
- Gallaher, W. R. *Cell* **50**, 327-328 (1987).
- Sodroski, J. *et al. Nature* **321**, 412-417 (1986).
- Feinberg, M. B., Jarrett, R. F., Aldovini, A., Gallo, R. C. & Wong-Staal, F. *Cell* **45**, 807-817 (1986).
- Frankel, A. D., Bredt, D. S. & Pabo, C. O. *Science* **240**, 70-73 (1988).
- Guy, B. *et al. Nature* **330**, 266-269 (1987).
- Strebel, K., Klimkait, T. & Martin, M. A. *Science* **241**, 1221-1223 (1988).
- Yu, X.-F., Ito, S., Essex, M. & Lee, T.-H. *Nature* **335**, 262-265 (1988).
- Zagury, J. F. *et al. Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **85**, 5941-5945 (1988).
- Hasegawa, A. *et al. AIDS Res. Human Retroviruses*, in the press.
- Ohta, Y. *et al. Int. J. Cancer* **41**, 115-122 (1988).
- Lowenstine, L. J. *et al. Int. J. Cancer* **38**, 563-574 (1986).
- Yokoyama, S. & Gojobori, T. *J. molec. Evol.* **24**, 330-336 (1987).
- Yokoyama, S., Chung, L. & Gojobori, T. *Molec. biol. Evol.* **5**, 237-251 (1988).
- Li, W.-H., Tanimura, M. & Sharp, P. M. *Molec. biol. Evol.* **5**, 313-330 (1988).
- Li, Y., Naidu, Y. M., Daniel, M. D. & Derosiers, R. C. *J. Virol.* **63**, 1800-1802 (1989).
- Wilbur, W. J. & Lipman, D. J. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **80**, 726-730 (1983).
- Saitou, N. & Nei, M. *Molec. biol. Evol.* **4**, 406-425 (1987).
- Franchini, G. *et al. Nature* **328**, 539-543 (1987).
- Alizon, M., Wain-Hobson, S., Montagnier, L. & Sonigo, P. *Cell* **45**, 63-74 (1986).
- Starcich, B. R. *et al. Cell* **45**, 637-648 (1986).
- Sanchez-Pescador, R. *et al. Science* **227**, 484-492 (1985).
- Gurgo, C. *et al. Virology* **164**, 531-536 (1988).
- Ratner, L. *et al. Nature* **313**, 277-284 (1985).
- Sonigo, P. *et al. Cell* **42**, 369-382 (1985).
- Stephens, R. M., Casey, J. W. & Rice, N. R. *Science* **231**, 589-594 (1986).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The nucleotide sequence described in this paper has been deposited in the EMBL/Gen Bank/DBJ Nucleotide Sequence Databases under the accession number X15781. The entire sequence can be obtained through EMBL/Gen Bank/DBJ or from the corresponding author.

TABLE 1 Immunization with TCR V β 8-peptide prevents induction of EAE

Immunization protocol	Incidence	EAE Induction*		
		Day of onset	Duration	Severity†
TCR V β 8 peptide + CFA‡ GPBP + CFA after 30 days	0/10	—	—	—
TCR V β 14 peptide + CFA§ GPBP + CFA after 30 days	4/4	14 ± 2	6 ± 2	3.1 ± 0.3
Saline + CFA GPBP + CFA after 30 days	14/14	14 ± 2	6 ± 1	3.0 ± 0.5

* EAE was induced by subcutaneous injection of 50 μ g GPBP + 400 μ g *Mycobacteria* in complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA).

† Value represents the mean of the maximum severity of EAE. 0, no signs; 0.5, lethargy, weight loss; 1, limp tail; 2, hind-leg weakness; 3, hind-quarter paralysis, incontinence; 4, moribund.

‡ 100 μ g of the TCR peptide [DMGHGLRLIHYSYDVNSTEKG (single-letter code)] representing residues 39–59 of the rat cDNA clone V β 510 (ref. 6) which has homology with the mouse V β 8 family was mixed with CFA containing 100 μ g *Mycobacteria* and injected subcutaneously.

§ 100 μ g of TCR peptide [APGGTLQQLFYFNVGQSELV] representing residues 39–59 of the rat cDNA clone CRTB188 (ref. 9) which has homology with the mouse V β 14 family was mixed with CFA containing 100 μ g *Mycobacteria* and injected subcutaneously.

TABLE 2 Specificity of T-cell lines from rats protected from EAE by V β 8 peptide

Stimulant	LN	Proliferation (c.p.m. $\times 10^{-3}$)		TCR V β 8 line + GPBP line
		TCR V β 8 peptide selected line	GPBP selected line	
Medium	11 ± 1	2 ± 1	1 ± 0	6 ± 1
Con A	99 ± 6	96 ± 4	80 ± 4	123 ± 5
TCR V β 8 peptide	27 ± 3	100 ± 6	1 ± 0	140 ± 4
+ OX-6 (anti I-A)	ND	99 ± 8	ND	ND
+ OX-17 (anti I-E)	ND	100 ± 4	ND	ND
+ OX-18 (anti class I)	ND	31 ± 5	ND	ND
+ W3/25 (anti-CD4)	ND	105 ± 7	ND	ND
+ OX-8 (anti-CD8)	ND	22 ± 3	ND	ND
TCR V β 14 peptide	10 ± 1	2 ± 0	ND	ND
GPBP	16 ± 1	3 ± 1	93 ± 6	102 ± 5
1–37	13 ± 1	ND	1 ± 1	13 ± 2
44–89	20 ± 1	ND	46 ± 12	56 ± 1
44–68	15 ± 1	1 ± 0	2 ± 0	22 ± 5
72–89	16 ± 1	1 ± 0	27 ± 3	20 ± 2
+ OX-6	ND	ND	1 ± 0	ND
+ OX-17	ND	ND	23 ± 2	ND
+ OX-18	ND	ND	27 ± 1	ND
+ W3/25	ND	ND	1 ± 0	ND
+ OX-8	ND	ND	26 ± 2	ND
87–99	12 ± 1	ND	1 ± 0	ND
90–170	13 ± 3	ND	1 ± 0	14 ± 3
GPBP + V β 8 peptide	30 ± 2	ND	55 ± 9	149 ± 9
PPD	48 ± 3	2 ± 0	1 ± 1	ND
Rt-BP	11 ± 2	1 ± 0	9 ± 2	9 ± 1

Rats were immunized subcutaneously (s.c.) with 400 μ g TCR V β 8 peptide in CFA containing 1 mg *M. tuberculosis*, and were challenged s.c. with 50 μ g GPBP in CFA at the same time or with 100 μ g encephalitogenic peptide after 30 days. Twenty days after simultaneous challenge, draining lymph nodes were removed and a single-cell suspension was tested in microtitre wells (5×10^5 cells per well) for response to antigens and mitogens. The remainder of the cells were stimulated in 6-cm diameter Petri dishes with either TCR peptide or GPBP (50 μ g ml $^{-1}$) for 3 days and transferred into interleukin-2 (IL-2) rich medium for an additional 4 days. These cells (2×10^4 per well) were restimulated with antigens and mitogens in the presence of irradiated thymic accessory cells. Stimulation in the presence of TCR peptide or GPBP was carried out in the presence of 2 μ g per well monoclonal antibodies. Underlined values indicate significant stimulation. ND, not done.

TABLE 3 Response of TCR V β 8 peptide-specific T-cell line to attenuated V β 8 (+) or (–) T cells

Stimulator line (specificity)	V β 8 expression	Proliferation (c.p.m. $\times 10^{-3}$)
None		7 ± 2
GPBP (S72–89)*	+	31 ± 3
GPBP (S5–74)*	–	8 ± 1

T-cell-line cells were irradiated (2,500 R) and 2×10^4 cells were mixed in the absence of additional accessory cells with 2×10^5 TCR specific T cells for 3 days, the last 18 h with [3 H]Thy. The cells were harvested and [3 H]Thy uptake assessed by liquid scintillation. Background proliferation of irradiated T cells specific for GPBP (S72–89) and GPBP (S5–74) was 130 and 220 c.p.m. respectively.

* TCR V gene use for these T-cell lines was described previously¹¹.

were strongly positive by immunofluorescence for the CD4 marker and weakly positive for the CD8 marker, but the response to the V β 8 peptide was restricted only by MHC class I molecules.

A GPBP-specific T-cell line was also selected from protected rats immunized with both the TCR V β 8 peptide and GPBP. This line had an uncharacteristically low response to the encephalitogenic 72–89 peptide relative to GPBP¹⁰. Once selected and activated, GPBP-specific T-cell-line cells from TCR-peptide-protected rats were encephalitogenic (hind-leg paralysis with 10 million cells in all 3 rats), indicating that V β 8 peptide immunization did not result in the deletion of encephalitogenic T-cell precursors.

Mixing of the TCR V β 8-specific and BP-specific T cells did not impair the response to GPBP, even in the presence of the TCR peptide (Table 2). The presence of TCR V β 8-specific T cells, however, caused, an increased response to all of the

TABLE 4 TCR V β 8 peptide-specific T-cells protect against EAE

Transfer dose*	Incidence	Induction of EAE (GPBP/CFA)		Severity†	DTH (mm $\times 10^{-2}$)	
		Day of onset	Duration		GPBP	PPD
None	5/5	12	6.5	3.1	32	21
3×10^7	0/5	—	—	0	24	21
1×10^7	0/3	—	—	0	ND	ND

* T-cell line cells were stimulated with TCR V β 8 peptide plus thymic accessory cells for 3 days prior to intraperitoneal transfer into naive recipient rats. The rats were challenged on the same day with GPBP/CFA.

† Value represents the mean of the maximum severity of EAE. See Table 1 legend.

peptides of GPBP except the encephalitogenic 72–89 sequence. The TCR peptide-specific T cells therefore seemed to alter the peptide recognition pattern of GPBP reactive T cells and indicated the possibility of cell–cell interactions.

Direct interactions could also be demonstrated. The addition of irradiated V β 8⁺ target cells induced significant proliferation by the TCR-specific T-cell line in the absence of additional accessory cells, whereas V β 8⁻ T cells¹¹ did not (Table 3), indicating the direct recognition of the TCR sequence on the target T-cell surface. The TCR peptide-specific T cells, however, were not cytotoxic for the BP-reactive target cells (data not shown).

The protective ability of V β 8 peptide-specific T cells was established by adoptive transfer. Rats injected with as few as 10 million V β 8 peptide-specific T cells did not develop EAE (Table 4). The transferred protection appeared to be T-cell mediated, as we were unable to detect V β 8 peptide-specific antibodies in the serum of protected rats. DTH results (Table 4) indicated that the adoptively transferred T cells could prevent the induction of EAE without compromising T-cell recognition of other antigens.

The ability of V β 8-peptide-specific T cells to alter the response patterns of GPBP specific T-cell lines *in vitro* and to protect naive rats from EAE and reduce DTH reactions *in vivo* indicated that the pattern of response to BP epitopes might be altered in rats protected by TCR-peptide-specific T cells. As shown in

Table 5, lymph-node cells (LNC) from the EAE-protected animals responded well to the TCR peptide, but poorly to GPBP and the encephalitogenic 72–89 peptide of GPBP compared to LNC from the control group. By contrast, LNC from the protected group showed a significant response to the 87–99 peptide of BP, whereas LNC from the control group had no response to this peptide (Table 5). The selection of a TCR-V β 8-peptide-specific T-cell line from the lymph nodes of adoptively protected rats (Table 5) indicated that TCR-peptide-specific T cells had migrated to and persisted in the lymph nodes that drained the site of GPBP injection.

These results demonstrate for the first time the use of a synthetic peptide from the CDR2 region of the TCR to induce V β 8-specific regulatory T cells that prevent the induction of EAE. Computer modelling of ternary interactions among TCR chains, antigenic peptides, and MHC restriction molecules is consistent with CDR involvement in peptide/MHC binding when the TCR is folded in an energetically favourable conformation^{12,13}. The indisputable regulatory effects of T cells specific for CDR2 support the notion that this region has biological importance.

It seems unlikely that the responder T cell is interacting directly with the functional TCR V β 8 molecule on the target T-cell surface. Indeed, it is conceivable that endogenous TCR peptide could be 'processed' and expressed preferentially on the T-cell surface in association with class I molecules¹⁴. If a natural form of the TCR peptide is associated with the MHC on the T-cell surface, the interacting TCR-specific T cell could interfere with normal activation by BP.

Vaccination with attenuated T cells has provided indirect evidence that protection against autoimmunity may include T-cell recognition of TCR^{15–20}. These data indicated that protective immunity was induced against target structures shared by different T-cell clones specific for the same disease-inducing epitope, but did not implicate the TCR directly. The immunogenicity and immunoregulatory activity demonstrated here of a defined region of the TCR V β 8 chain expressed by encephalitogenic T cells is an important step forward in understanding anti-idiotypic regulation, and provides a clear explanation for the protective effects of the vaccination approach.

The induction of anti-idiotypic antibodies directed against TCR determinants expressed on the surface of intact T cells has played a crucial role in the identification and function of the TCR itself^{1–4}. Two of the TCR V β 8 specific monoclonal antibodies, F23.1 and KJ16, can inhibit EAE in the mouse, which also uses the TCR V β 8 gene in response to BP^{21,22}. Our approach using a synthetic peptide to induce TCR-peptide-specific antibodies may be of value in producing a variety of highly specific antibodies for assessing sequences important in TCR function. The potential regulatory properties of antibodies raised to the V β 8 peptide will be addressed in a separate study.

TCR peptide vaccination may have application in human autoimmune or malignant conditions that are characterized by common TCR V-gene usage. This approach would seem to have clear advantages over other approaches such as tolerance induced with potentially pathogenic target autoantigens, or whole-cell vaccination which is 'individual' specific and complicated by the presence of extraneous cell-surface antigens. □

TABLE 5 Specificity of T-cell lines from rats protected from EAE by V β 8 peptide-specific T cells.

Protocol	Stimulant	Proliferation (c.p.m. $\times 10^{-3}$)		
		LN	TCR peptide selected line	GPBP selected line
3×10^7 V β 8 peptide-specific T cells	Medium	7 \pm 1	2 \pm 1	5 \pm 1
	Con A	<u>125</u> \pm 14	<u>46</u> \pm 14	<u>39</u> \pm 1
	V β 8 peptide	<u>90</u> \pm 6	<u>52</u> \pm 3	6 \pm 1
	GPBP + CFA	8 \pm 0	0 \pm 0	<u>16</u> \pm 4
	1–37	7 \pm 2	ND	6 \pm 1
	44–89	<u>12</u> \pm 2	ND	<u>17</u> \pm 2
	44–68	9 \pm 1	4 \pm 3	9 \pm 1
	72–89	<u>12</u> \pm 3	3 \pm 1	<u>11</u> \pm 1
	87–99	<u>41</u> \pm 7	4 \pm 2	9 \pm 1
90–170	<u>12</u> \pm 2	ND	8 \pm 1	
Saline, GPBP + CFA	Medium	12 \pm 1	Not selected	6 \pm 1
	Con A	<u>38</u> \pm 4	selected	<u>88</u> \pm 10
	V β 8 peptide	8 \pm 1		6 \pm 2
	GPBP	<u>32</u> \pm 5		<u>65</u> \pm 2
	1–37	12 \pm 0		6 \pm 1
	44–89	<u>34</u> \pm 6		<u>91</u> \pm 5
	44–68	<u>19</u> \pm 3		<u>24</u> \pm 3
	72–89	<u>29</u> \pm 2		<u>64</u> \pm 5
	87–99	11 \pm 1		6 \pm 0
	90–170	11 \pm 2		7 \pm 1

Lymph-node cells were collected and tested 20 days after simultaneous injection of TCR V β 8 peptide-specific T line cells (or saline) and GPBP/CFA. T cell lines were selected from the LNC as described in Table 2. Underlined values indicate significant stimulation.

Received 25 July; accepted 5 September 1989

1. Gascoigne, N. R. J. *et al. Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **84**, 2936–2940 (1987).
2. Kappler, J. W., Staerz, U., White, J. & Marrack, P. C. *Nature* **332**, 35–40 (1988).
3. Kappler, J. W. *et al. Cell* **49**, 263–271 (1987).
4. MacDonald, H. R. *et al. Nature* **332**, 40–45 (1988).
5. Chou, Y. K., Vandenbark, A. A., Jones, R. E., Hashim, G. A. & Offner, H. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **22**, 181–187 (1989).
6. Burns, F. R. *et al. J. exp. Med.* **169**, 27–39 (1989).
7. Margalit, H. *et al. J. Immunol.* **138**, 2213–2219 (1987).
8. Rothbard, J. B. & Taylor, W. R. *EMBO J.* **7**, 93–100 (1988).
9. Williams, C. B. & Gutman, G. A. *J. Immunol.* **142**, 1027–1035 (1989).
10. Offner, H. *et al. J. Immunol.* **141**, 3828–3832 (1988).
11. Offner, H. *et al. J. exp. Med.* **170**, 355–367 (1989).
12. Davis, M. M. & Bjorkman, P. J. *Nature* **334**, 395–402 (1988).
13. Clavier, J. M., Prochnica-Chaloufour, A., & Bouguetere, L. *Immun. Today* **10**, 10–14 (1989).
14. Long, E. *Immun. Today* **10**, 232–234 (1989).
15. Lider, O., Reshef, T., Beraud, E., Ben-Nun, A. & Cohen, I. R. *Science* **239**, 181–183 (1988).
16. Offner, H., Jones, R., Celnik, B. & Vandenbark, A. A. *J. Neuroimmunol.* **21**, 13–22 (1989).
17. Beraud, E., Lider, O., Baharav, E., Reshef, T. & Cohen, I. R. *J. Autoimmunity* **2**, 75–86 (1989).
18. Lider, O., Karin, N., Shintzky, M. & Cohen, I. R. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **84**, 4577–4580 (1987).
19. Maron, R., Zerubavel, R., Friedman, A. & Cohen, I. R. *J. Immunol.* **131**, 2316–2320 (1983).
20. Sun, D., Qin, Y., Chluba, J., Epplen, J. T. & Wekerle, H. *Nature* **332**, 843–845 (1988).
21. Acha-Orbea, H. *et al. Cell* **54**, 263–273 (1988).
22. Urban, J. *et al. Cell* **54**, 577–592 (1988).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This paper is dedicated to the memory of Michael Offner. We thank Dr Bozena Celnik for her assistance and Dr Rich Jones for discussion. This work was supported by the Veterans Administration, the Margaret T. Biddle Foundation, NIH grants NS23221, NS23444, NS21466, and NSF grant BN11133.

Binding activities of a repertoire of single immunoglobulin variable domains secreted from *Escherichia coli*

E. Sally Ward, Detlef Güssow, Andrew D. Griffiths, Peter T. Jones & Greg Winter*

MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge CB2 2QH, UK

IN antibodies, a heavy and a light chain variable domain, VH and VL, respectively, pack together and the hypervariable loops on each domain contribute to binding antigen^{1–4}. We find, however, that isolated VH domains with good antigen-binding affinities can also be prepared. Using the polymerase chain reaction⁵, diverse libraries of VH genes were cloned from the spleen genomic DNA of mice immunized with either lysozyme or keyhole-limpet haemocyanin. From these libraries, VH domains were expressed and secreted from *Escherichia coli*. Binding activities were detected against both antigens, and two VH domains were characterized with affinities for lysozyme in the 20 nM range. Isolated variable domains may offer an alternative to monoclonal antibodies and serve as the key to building high-affinity human antibodies. We suggest the name 'single domain antibodies (dAbs)' for these antigen binding demands.

We have analysed the interactions with antigen of individual domains of the anti-lysozyme antibody, D1.3 (ref. 1). The VH domain was expressed in *E. coli* and secreted into the periplasm^{6,7}, alone or in association with the V κ domain (fig. 1). Analysis of culture medium, by passage through a lysozyme-Sepharose affinity column⁸, followed by SDS-PAGE⁹ revealed that both the isolated VH domain, or the associated Fv fragment, could bind to lysozyme, and could be purified to homogeneity in a single step, with yields of $\sim 200 \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ and 10 mg l^{-1} , respectively. The VH domain appears to be monomeric by FPLC (Pharmacia, Superose 12 column). The N-terminal sequences of both domains were checked by gas-phase protein sequencing^{10,11}.

As shown in Table 1, the affinity of Fv fragment for lysozyme and the stoichiometry of binding of the VH domain to lysozyme were determined by titration using fluorescence quench techniques. The affinity of VH domain for lysozyme was determined

TABLE 1 Affinities of Fv fragment and VH domains for hen egg lysozyme

	Stoichiometry	Affinity (nM)	k_{on} ($\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)	k_{off} (s^{-1})	$k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}}$ (nM)
Fv-D1.3	ND	3	1.9×10^6	ND	ND
VH-D1.3	1.2	ND	3.8×10^6	0.075	19
VH3	ND	ND	2.9×10^6	0.036	12
VH8	ND	ND	3.3×10^6	0.088	27

Cultures of 500 ml were grown and induced (see Fig. 1 methods), and the supernatant passed through a $0.45 \mu\text{m}$ filter (Nalgene), then through a 5 ml lysozyme-Sepharose affinity column. After washing with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), the Fv fragment or VH domains were eluted with 50 mM diethylamine, and analysed for purity by SDS-PAGE⁹. The proteins were titrated with lysozyme at 25 °C using fluorescence quench (Perkin Elmer LS 5B Luminescence Spectrometer)²⁷ to determine the number of active binding sites, to measure the affinity of the Fv fragment and the stoichiometry of binding of the VH domain (mole lysozyme per mole domain). The concentration of the VH domain of the D1.3 antibody was determined by hydrolysis followed by quantitative amino-acid analysis. The kinetics of lysozyme binding were determined by stopped-flow (Hi Tech Stop Flow SHU) at 20 °C under pseudo-first order conditions with binding sites in five to ten fold excess over lysozyme²⁸. For the kinetics, the concentration of binding sites, not protein, was measured ND, not determined. k_{on} is the second order rate constant for association, and k_{off} is the first order rate constant for dissociation.

from the kinetics of binding. The affinity of the Fv fragment (3 nM) is similar to the parent antibody (2 nM). The VH domain binds lysozyme tightly in an equimolar complex with an affinity for lysozyme (19 nM) which is only 10-fold weaker. Separated heavy and light chains have previously been identified with antigen¹² or hapten binding activities¹³ although the affinities were poor, with no evidence for binding by single chains^{13,14} rather than dimers¹⁵.

In the D1.3 antibody, lysozyme interacts extensively with both domains, and forms three hydrogen bonds to the V κ domain, and nine hydrogen bonds to the VH domain. Binding of lysozyme buries $\sim 300 \text{ \AA}^2$ of V κ domain away from solvent, and 400 \AA^2 of the VH domain¹. Our results show, however, that the V κ domain makes only a small net contribution to the energetics of binding. This is surprising as the removal of a single hydrogen bond¹⁶ or a single van der Waals contact¹⁷ can lead to tenfold loss in affinity. The VH domain presumably binds to lysozyme in a similar way to the antibody and this is consistent with inhibition of binding of the Fv fragment by the VH domain (data not shown, but see Fig. 1 legend). It is possible that the whole surface of interaction might reorientate slightly, perhaps by rocking on side chains, to create a new set of contacts¹⁸.

The result prompted us to obtain VH domains with antigen-binding activities from antibody-producing cells. Previously we have demonstrated the cloning of immunoglobulin variable regions from hybridoma mRNA for expression of chimaeric antibodies, using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)^{5,19}. We now used PCR to amplify the rearranged VH genes from the spleen DNA of a mouse immunized with lysozyme (Fig. 2). The amplified DNA was cloned into the vector M13VHPCR1 (ref. 19) for sequencing. The complete sequences of 48 VH gene clones were determined (data not shown). All but two of the mouse VH gene families²⁰ were represented, with frequencies of: VA (1), IIIC (1), IIIB (8), IIIA (3), IIB (17), IIA (2), IB (12) and IA (4). In 30 clones the D segments could be assigned to families SP2 (14), FL16 (11) and Q52 (5), and in 38 clones the JH minigenes to families JH1 (3), JH2 (7), JH3 (14) and JH4 (14). The different sequences of CDR3 marked each of the 48 clones as unique. Nine pseudogenes and 16 unproductive rearrangements were identified; of the clones sequenced, 27 have open reading frames. Clearly we can generate a diverse repertoire of VH genes using PCR, but cannot rule out a systematic bias due to our choice of primers and hybridization conditions. VH gene libraries have also been generated using PCR

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.